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Abstract. We continue the study of Rokhlin entropy, an isomorphism invariant for p.m.p. actions of countable groups introduced in Part I. In this paper we prove a non-ergodic finite generator theorem and use it to establish sub-additivity and semi-continuity properties of Rokhlin entropy. We also obtain formulas for Rokhlin entropy in terms of ergodic decompositions and inverse limits. Finally, we clarify the relationship between Rokhlin entropy, sofic entropy, and classical Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy. In particular, using Rokhlin entropy we give a new proof of the fact that ergodic actions with positive sofic entropy have finite stabilizers.

1. Introduction

Let \((X, \mu)\) be a standard probability space, meaning \(X\) is a standard Borel space with Borel \(\sigma\)-algebra \(\mathcal{B}(X)\) and \(\mu\) is a Borel probability measure. Let \(G\) be a countable group and let \(G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)\) be a probability-measure-preserving (p.m.p.) action. For \(\xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)\) let \(\sigma\)-alg\((\xi)\) be the \(\sigma\)-algebra generated by \(\xi\) and let \(\sigma\)-alg\(_G\)(\(\xi\)) denote the smallest \(G\)-invariant \(\sigma\)-algebra containing \(\xi\). A Borel partition \(\alpha\) is generating, or a generator, if \(\sigma\)-alg\(_G\)(\(\alpha\)) = \(\mathcal{B}(X)\) (equality is understood to be modulo \(\mu\)-null sets).

In Part I of this series, Seward defined the Rokhlin entropy of a p.m.p. action \(G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)\), denoted \(h^{\text{Rok}}_G(X, \mu)\), to be

\[
\inf \left\{ H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F}_G) : \alpha \text{ a countable partition with } \sigma\text{-alg}\_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F}_G = \mathcal{B}(X) \right\},
\]

where \(\mathcal{F}_G\) is the \(\sigma\)-algebra of \(G\)-invariant Borel sets and \(H(\cdot \mid \cdot)\) is conditional Shannon entropy. More generally, for a \(G\)-invariant sub-\(\sigma\)-algebra \(\mathcal{F}\), the Rokhlin entropy of \(G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)\) relative to \(\mathcal{F}\), denoted \(h^{\text{Rok}}_G(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F})\), is

\[
\inf \left\{ H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{F}_G) : \alpha \text{ a countable partition with } \sigma\text{-alg}\_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{F}_G = \mathcal{B}(X) \right\}.
\]

In the special case of an ergodic action and trivial \(\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, X\}\), Rokhlin entropy simplifies to the more natural form

\[
h^{\text{Rok}}_G(X, \mu) = \inf \left\{ H(\alpha) : \alpha \text{ is a countable generating partition} \right\}.
\]

The purpose of this three-part series has been to introduce, motivate, and lay some basic foundations for Rokhlin entropy theory. Part I focused on ergodic actions and developed a generalization of Krieger’s finite generator theorem for
actions of arbitrary countable groups. We recall this theorem below as we will need to use it here.

**Theorem 1.1** ([27]). Let $G$ be a countably infinite group acting ergodically, but not necessarily freely, by measure-preserving bijections on a non-atomic standard probability space $(X, \mu)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $X$. If $\bar{p} = (p_i)$ is any finite or countable probability vector with $h_{\text{Rok}}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) < H(\bar{p})$, then there is a partition $\alpha = \{A_i : 0 \leq i < |\bar{p}|\}$ with $\mu(A_i) = p_i$ for every $0 \leq i < |\bar{p}|$ and $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(X)$.

The abstract nature of the definition of Rokhlin entropy, specifically an infimum over an extremely large set of partitions, initially seems to prevent any viable means of study. A hidden significance of the above theorem is that it changes this situation. Specifically, it leads to a sub-additive identity which unlocks a path to studying Rokhlin entropy.

Part II of this series motivated Rokhlin entropy theory through applications to Bernoulli shifts. The main theorem of Part II showed that a simple conjectured property of Rokhlin entropy would imply that the Bernoulli 2-shift and the Bernoulli 3-shift are non-isomorphic for all countably infinite groups, would positively solve the Gottschalk surjectivity conjecture for all countable groups, and would positively solve the Kaplansky direct finiteness conjecture for all groups (all three of these are currently open problems). It’s also worth noting that Rokhlin entropy has also been studied in [1, 4, 9, 29]. Specifically, any free ergodic action of positive Rokhlin entropy factors onto all Bernoulli shifts of lesser or equal entropy. Rokhlin entropy has also been studied in [11, 29].

Here in Part III, the final part of the series, we consider non-ergodic actions for the first time. Having introduced and motivated Rokhlin entropy in the prior parts, our goal here is to lay some basic foundations for the theory. A critical tool to doing this, the main theorem of this paper, is a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to non-ergodic actions. Recall that an action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is aperiodic if $\mu$-almost-every $G$-orbit is infinite. Below, for a Borel action $G \curvearrowright X$, we write $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ for the set of $G$-invariant ergodic Borel probability measures on $X$.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be an aperiodic p.m.p. action and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a countably generated $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra. Let $\mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu \, d\tau(\nu)$ be the ergodic decomposition of $\mu$. If $\nu \mapsto \bar{p}^\nu$ is a Borel map associating to each $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ a finite or countable probability vector $\bar{p}^\nu = (p_i^\nu)$ satisfying $h_{\text{Rok}}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \nu \mid \mathcal{F}) < H(\bar{p}^\nu)$, then there is a partition $\alpha = \{A_i\}$ of $X$ such that $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ and such that $\nu(A_i) = p_i^\nu$ for every $i$ and $\tau$-almost-every $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$.

This theorem is optimal in the sense that if $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ (or if $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{F}_G = \mathcal{B}(X)$) then $h_{\text{Rok}}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \nu \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq H_\nu(\alpha)$ for $\tau$-almost-every $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ and in general there does not exist an $\alpha$ for which equality $h_{\text{Rok}}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \nu \mid \mathcal{F}) = H_\nu(\alpha)$ holds.

A nearly immediate consequence of this theorem is that Rokhlin entropy satisfies an ergodic decomposition formula.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a countably generated $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra, and let $\mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu \, d\tau(\nu)$ be the ergodic decomposition.


of $\mu$. Then

$$h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \nu \mid \mathcal{F}) \ d\tau(\nu).$$

In the case of ergodic actions, the main theorem of Part I, Theorem 1.1, revealed a very tight relationship between Rokhlin entropy and the possible sizes of generating partitions. For non-ergodic actions, the relation between Rokhlin entropy and the size of generating partitions is rather subtle despite being precisely encoded in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. For example, it is not immediately obvious if there exists a generating partition $\alpha$ with $H(\alpha) < \infty$ whenever $h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \mu) < \infty$. Even less clear is the value of $\inf\{H(\alpha) : \sigma-alg_G(\alpha) = B(X)\}$. We give a rough answer to these questions which should be sufficient for most applications.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be an aperiodic p.m.p. action, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra.

1. For all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a two-piece partition $\alpha$ with $H(\alpha) < \epsilon$ and $\sigma$-alg$_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} = B(X)$ if and only if $h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = 0$.
2. There is a finite partition $\alpha$ with $\sigma$-alg$_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} = B(X)$ if and only if the Rokhlin entropy $h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \nu \mid \mathcal{F})$ is essentially bounded over the ergodic components $\nu$ of $\mu$.
3. There is a partition $\alpha$ with $H(\alpha) < \infty$ and $\sigma$-alg$_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} = B(X)$ if and only if $h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) < \infty$.

Thus, for non-ergodic aperiodic actions, finite Rokhlin entropy is equivalent to the existence of a generating partition with finite Shannon entropy.

As we mentioned before, currently the study of Rokhlin entropy is made possible by a sub-additive identity. This identity has played a crucial role in nearly all of the results on Rokhlin entropy appearing in the literature so far. This sub-additive identity was first proved for ergodic actions in Part I [27], and generalized to countable sub-additivity in Part II [28]. To state this property properly we need a definition. For a p.m.p. action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, a collection $\xi \subseteq B(X)$, and a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{F}$, the outer Rokhlin entropy of $\xi$ relative to $\mathcal{F}$, denoted $h_{G,Rok}^R(\xi \mid \mathcal{F})$, is defined to be

$$\inf \left\{ H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{G}) : \alpha \text{ a countable partition of } X \text{ with } \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{G} \right\}.$$

If $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is a factor of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Sigma$ is the $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $X$ associated to $Y$, then we define the outer Rokhlin entropy of $(Y, \nu)$ within $(X, \mu)$ to be $h_{G,Rok}^R(Y, \nu) = h_{G,Rok}^R(\Sigma)$.

Using Theorem 1.2 we prove countable sub-additivity for non-ergodic actions.

**Corollary 1.5** (Countable sub-additivity of Rokhlin entropy). Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra, and let $\xi \subseteq B(X)$. If $(\Sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebras with $\xi \subseteq \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_n \lor \mathcal{F}$ then

$$h_{G,Rok}^R(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq h_{G,Rok}^R(\Sigma_1 \mid \mathcal{F}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_{G,Rok}^R(\Sigma_n \mid \Sigma_{n-1} \lor \mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, if $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is a factor of $(X, \mu)$ and $\Sigma$ is the sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $X$ associated to $Y$ then

$$h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \mu) \leq h_{G,Rok}^R(Y, \nu) + h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \mu \mid \Sigma) \leq h_{G,Rok}^R(Y, \nu) + h_{G,Rok}^R(X, \mu \mid \Sigma).$$
Using sub-additivity, we show that Rokhlin entropy is a continuous function or an upper-semicontinuous function on a few natural spaces. Our work extends upon a few limited cases of upper-semicontinuity which were critical to the main theorems in \cite{28} and \cite{29}. Recall that a function \( f : X \to \mathbb{R} \) on a topological space \( X \) is upper-semicontinuous if for every \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) the set \( f^{-1}((-\infty, r)) \) is open. Below, for a Borel action \( G \acts X \) we write \( \mathcal{M}_G(X) \) for the set of \( G \)-invariant Borel probability measures, and we write \( \mathcal{M}_G^{\text{per}}(X) \) for the set of those measures \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(X) \) for which \( G \acts (X, \mu) \) is aperiodic (and as before, \( \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) is the set of ergodic measures).

**Corollary 1.6.** Let \( G \) be a countable group, let \( L \) be a finite set, and let \( L^G \) have the product topology. Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra which is generated by a countable collection of clopen sets. Then the map \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_G^{\text{per}}(L^G) \cup \mathcal{E}_G(L^G) \mapsto h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(L^G, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) \) is upper-semicontinuous in the weak* topology. Furthermore, if \( G \) is finitely generated then this map is upper-semicontinuous on all of \( \mathcal{M}_G(L^G) \).

We also establish upper-semicontinuity results on the space of actions (Corollary \ref{6.8}), and we establish upper-semicontinuity and continuity results on the space of partitions (Corollary \ref{6.7} and Lemma \ref{6.2}).

Again relying upon sub-additivity, we develop a formula for the Rokhlin entropy of an inverse limit of actions. In the case of ergodic actions, this formula appeared in Part II and was a key ingredient to the proof of the main theorem there. See also Corollary \ref{7.4} for an alternate version of this theorem.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let \( G \acts (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra. Suppose that \( G \acts (X, \mu) \) is the inverse limit of actions \( G \acts (X_n, \mu_n) \). Identify each \( \mathcal{B}(X_n) \) as a sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra of \( X \) in the natural way. Then

\[
h_{G,n}^{\text{Rok}}(X_n, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) < \infty \iff \left\{ \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{m \geq n} h_{G,n}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) \mid \mathcal{B}(X_n) \cup \mathcal{F}) = 0 \right\}
\]

Furthermore, when \( h_{G,n}^{\text{Rok}}(X_n, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) < \infty \) we have

\[
h_{G,n}^{\text{Rok}}(X_n, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} h_{G,n}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) \mid \mathcal{F}) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \inf_{m \to \infty} h_{G,n}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) \mid \mathcal{F}).
\]

It is unknown if \( h_{G,n}^{\text{Rok}}(X_n, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{m} h_{G,n}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) \mid \mathcal{F}) \) in all cases.

By using the inverse limit formula, we show that Rokhlin entropy is a Borel function on the space of \( G \)-invariant probability measures (Corollary \ref{7.5}) and a Borel function on the space of p.m.p. \( G \)-actions (Corollary \ref{7.6}).

We briefly observe that relative Rokhlin entropy is an invariant for certain restricted orbit equivalences. This generalizes a similar property of Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy discovered by Rudolph and Weiss \cite{28}. Unlike the original result by Rudolph and Weiss, for Rokhlin entropy this property follows quite easily from the definitions. Nevertheless, it feels worth explicitly mentioning.

**Proposition 1.8.** Let \( G \acts (X, \mu) \) and \( \Gamma \acts (X, \mu) \) be p.m.p. actions having the same orbits \( \mu \)-almost-everywhere. Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant and \( \Gamma \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra. Assume that there exist \( \mathcal{F} \)-measurable maps \( c_T : X \times G \to \Gamma \) and \( c_G : X \times \Gamma \to G \) such that \( g \cdot x = c_T(x, g) \cdot x \) and \( \gamma \cdot x = c_G(x, \gamma) \cdot x \) for all \( g \in G \), \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), and \( \mu \)-almost-every \( x \in X \). Then \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = h_{\Gamma,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) \).

The final topic we consider is relations between Rokhlin entropy, Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy, sofic entropy, and stabilizers.
In the case of standard (non-relative) entropies, it was Rokhlin who first showed that for free ergodic actions of \( \mathbb{Z} \) Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy and Rokhlin entropy coincide \(^{25}\) (the name ‘Rokhlin entropy’ was chosen for this reason). Later this was extended to free ergodic actions of amenable groups by Seward and Tucker-Drobn \(^{31}\). Then in Part I \(^{27}\) it was shown that relative Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy and relative Rokhlin entropy coincide for free ergodic actions of amenable groups. Here we completely settle the relationship by handling the non-ergodic case. This is an immediate consequence of the ergodic decomposition formula, Corollary 1.3. Below we write \( h^{KS} \) for Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy. See Corollary 8.2 for a more refined version of this result involving outer Rokhlin entropy.

**Corollary 1.9.** If \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) is a free p.m.p. action of a countably infinite amenable group and \( F \) is a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra, then \( h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu \mid F) = h^{KS}_{G}(X, \mu \mid F) \).

For sofic entropy, its precise relationship with Rokhlin entropy is still unclear. It is a fairly quick consequence of the definitions that sofic entropy is bounded above by Rokhlin entropy for ergodic actions (this follows from \(^{2} \) Prop. 5.3) by letting \( \beta \) be trivial). Here we show that Rokhlin entropy is an upper bound to sofic entropy for non-ergodic actions as well. In fact, we show that relative Rokhlin entropy is an upper bound to the gap between the sofic entropy of an action and the extension sofic entropy of a factor action. Below, for a sofic group \( G \), a sofic approximation \( \Sigma \) to \( G \), and a p.m.p. action \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \), we write \( h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu) \) for the \( \Sigma \)-sofic entropy of this action. If \( G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu) \) is a factor of \( (X, \mu) \) then we write \( h^{Rok}_{G,\mu}(Y, \nu) \) for the “extension \( \Sigma \)-sofic entropy” of \( G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu) \) as defined by Hayes \(^{12}\) (this is basically the sofic entropy analog of outer Rokhlin entropy). The precise definitions will be recalled in Section 5. We remind the reader that \( h^{Rok}_{G,\mu}(Y, \nu) \leq h^{KS}_{G}(Y, \nu) \).

**Proposition 1.10.** Let \( G \) be a sofic group with sofic approximation \( \Sigma \), let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action, and let \( G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu) \) be a factor of \( (X, \mu) \). Let \( F \) be the \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra of \( X \) associated to \( Y \). Then

\[
h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu) \leq h^{\Sigma}_{G,\mu}(Y, \nu) + h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu \mid F).
\]

In particular, letting \( (Y, \nu) \) be trivial gives \( h^{\Sigma}_{G}(X, \mu) \leq h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu) \).

We remark that it is hypothetically possible that \( -\infty \neq h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu) < \infty \) while \( h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu) = \infty \). Nevertheless, when \( h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu, F) < \infty \) there will be an interesting connection between Rokhlin entropy and sofic entropy.

It remains an important open problem to determine if Rokhlin entropy and sofic entropy coincide for free actions when the sofic entropy is not minus infinity.

Finally, we consider the effect of non-trivial stabilizers on entropy. It is a theorem of Meyerovitch that ergodic actions of positive sofic entropy must have finite stabilizers \(^{20}\). For Rokhlin entropy this is certainly not the case. If \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) is a p.m.p. action and \( G \) is a quotient of \( \Gamma \), then \( \Gamma \) acts on \( (X, \mu) \) by factoring through \( G \), and it is easily checked that \( h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu) = h^{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu) \). Nevertheless, outer Rokhlin entropy can detect when new stabilizers appear in a factor action.

**Theorem 1.11.** Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be an aperiodic p.m.p. action. Consider a factor \( f : G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \to G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu) \).

(i) If \( |\text{Stab}_{G}(f(x)) : \text{Stab}_{G}(x)| \geq k \) for \( \mu \)-almost-every \( x \in X \) then

\[
h^{Rok}_{G,\mu}(Y, \nu) \leq \frac{1}{k} \cdot h^{Rok}_{G}(Y, \nu).
\]
Corollary 1.12. Let $G$ be a sofic group with sofic approximation $\Sigma$ and let $G \subset (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action.

(i) If $\mu$-almost-every stabilizer has cardinality at least $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$h^\Sigma_G(X, \mu) \leq \frac{1}{k} \cdot h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu).$$

(ii) If $\mu$-almost-every stabilizer is infinite then

$$h^\Sigma_G(X, \mu) = 0.$$
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2. Measurable selection

Our first goal is to prove the main theorem, Theorem 1.2. This task will occupy the next three sections. Let us briefly outline the proof. Fix an action $G \subset (X, \mu)$, a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{F}$, and a Borel map $\nu \mapsto \bar{\nu}$ for $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ as described by the theorem. By the ergodic decomposition theorem (recorded below in Lemma 3.2) there is a $G$-invariant Borel partition $\{X_{\nu} : \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)\}$ of $X$ satisfying $\nu(X_{\nu}) = 1$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$. By Theorem 1.1 from Part I, for every $\nu$ there is a partition $\alpha^\nu = \{A_i^\nu : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $X_{\nu}$ satisfying $\text{dist}_\mu(\alpha^\nu) = \bar{\nu}$ and $\sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\alpha^\nu) \vee \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(X_{\nu})$ (modulo $\nu$-null sets). If we define $\alpha = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $A_i = \bigcup_\nu A_i^\nu$, then at first it may seem that $\alpha$ has the desired properties. However, a problem is that $\alpha$ may not be Borel. In order to fix this and complete the proof, we need to ensure that the map $\nu \mapsto \alpha^\nu$ is (in some sense) Borel.

In this section we digress into pure descriptive set theory. We consider the problem of choosing Borel maps satisfying certain restrictions. Later this will be applied for choosing the map $\nu \mapsto \alpha^\nu$.

Recall that a subset $A$ of a standard Borel space $X$ is analytic if it is the image of a Borel set under a Borel map.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(X, \mu)$ be a standard probability space, let $Y$ be a standard Borel space, and let $A \subseteq X \times Y$ be an analytic set such that $\{(x) \times Y) \cap A$ is uncountable for every $x \in X$. Then there is a Borel set $\bar{A} \subseteq A$ such that for $\mu$-almost-every $x \in X$ we have $\{(x) \times Y) \cap \bar{A}$ is uncountable.

Proof. This is trivial if $X$ is countable, so we may assume $X$ is uncountable. By our assumptions $Y$ is also uncountable, so without loss of generality we may assume $X = \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ and $Y = \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ are the Baire space. Also let $Z = \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ be another copy of the Baire space. For $m \geq 1$ let $\mathbb{N}^m$ be the set of sequences of natural numbers of length $m$, and for $m = 0$ write $\mathbb{N}^0$ for the empty sequence $\emptyset$. When $m < n$ and $y \in \mathbb{N}^n$ or
Let \( y \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \), we let \( y \upharpoonright m \in \mathbb{N}^m \) denote the length \( m \) prefix of \( y \) (i.e. the first \( m \) terms of \( y \)). For each \( \mathbb{N}^m \) and for \( \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \) we write < for the lexicographic order. For \( s \in \mathbb{N}^m \), let \( Y_s \) be the set of \( y \in Y = \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \) having \( s \) as a prefix. Define \( Z_s \subseteq Z \) similarly.

Since \( A \subseteq X \times Y \) is analytic, it is equal to the projection \( \pi_{X \times Y} (B) \) of a closed set \( B \subseteq X \times Y \times Z \) \[14\] Prop. 25.2. For \( s,t \in \mathbb{N}^m \) let \( X_{s,t} \) be the set of \( x \in X \) such that \( \pi_Y ((\{x\} \times Y_s \times Z_t) \cap B) \) is uncountable. Then \( X_{s,t} \) is analytic \[14\] Theorem 29.19] and so we may fix Borel sets \( X'_{s,t} \subseteq X_{s,t} \subseteq X''_{s,t} \) such that \( \mu (X''_{s,t} \setminus X_{s,t}) = 0 \).

Set

\[
\bar{X} = X \setminus \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}, s,t \in \mathbb{N}^m} (X''_{s,t} \setminus X'_{s,t})
\]

and set \( X_{s,t} = X'_{s,t} \cap \bar{X} \). Note that \( X_{s,t} \) and \( \bar{X} \) are Borel and that \( \bar{X} \) is conull. Also note that for \( x \in \bar{X} \) we have that \( \pi_Y (((\{x\} \times Y_s \times Z_t) \cap B) \) is uncountable if and only if \( x \in X_{s,t} \).

For \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( P_m \) be the set of triples \( (x,s,t) \in X \times \mathbb{N}^m \times \mathbb{N}^m \) such that \( x \in X_{s,t} \)

but \( x \notin X_{s',t} \) whenever \( t \in \mathbb{N}^m \) with \( t' < t \). We claim that if \( (x,s,t) \in P_m \), then there is \( n > m, s_1 \neq s_2 \in \mathbb{N}^n \) extending \( s \), and \( t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{N}^n \) extending \( t \) such that \( (x,s_1,t_1) \in P_n \). By definition \( x \in X_{s,t} \) implies that \( \pi_Y (((\{x\} \times Y_s \times Z_t) \cap B) \) is uncountable. So there is \( n > m \) and \( s_1 \neq s_2 \in \mathbb{N}^n \) extending \( s \) such that each set \( \pi_Y (((\{x\} \times Y_{s_1} \times Z_{t_1}) \cap B) \) is uncountable. Now for \( i = 1,2 \) let \( t_i \in \mathbb{N}^n \) be the least extension of \( t \) with \( \pi_Y (((\{x\} \times Y_{s_i} \times Z_{t_i}) \cap B) \) uncountable. If \( t'_i \in \mathbb{N}^n \) and \( t''_i \in \mathbb{N}^n \) with \( t''_i < t_i \), then either \( (t'_i \upharpoonright m) < t \) or \( t''_i \) extends \( t \). In either case we will have that \( \pi_Y (((\{x\} \times Y_{s_i} \times Z_{t''_i}) \cap B) \) is at most countable and thus \( x \notin X_{s_i,t''_i} \). So \( (x,s_i,t_i) \in P_n \), completing the claim.

Now define

\[
B = \{(x,y,z) : \forall k \exists m \geq k (x,y \upharpoonright m, z \upharpoonright m) \in P_m\}.
\]

If \( (x,y,z) \in B \) then \( x \in \bar{X}_{y \upharpoonright m, z \upharpoonright m} \) for infinitely many \( m \). Since \( B \) is closed, it follows \( (x,y,z) \in B \). So \( B \) is a Borel subset of \( B \). If \( (x,y,z) \in B \) and \( z' \neq z \), then there is \( m \) with \( (x,y \upharpoonright m, z \upharpoonright m) \in P_m \) and \( z' \upharpoonright m < z \upharpoonright m \) and therefore the definition of \( P_m \) gives \( x \notin \bar{X}_{y \upharpoonright m, z' \upharpoonright m} \). This implies that \( (x,y,z') \notin B \). So the restriction of \( \pi_{X \times Y} \) to \( B \) is injective and hence \( A = \pi_{X \times Y} (B) \) is a Borel subset of \( A \) \[14\] Cor. 15.2]. To complete the proof, we claim that for every \( x \in \bar{X} \) the set \( \{(x \times Y) \cap A \) is uncountable. As \( \pi_{X \times Y} : B \to \bar{X} \) is injective, it suffices to show that \( \{(x \times Y) \times Z) \cap B \) is uncountable for \( x \in \bar{X} \). Our assumption on \( A \) immediately gives \( x \in X_{x,z} \), hence \( x \in \bar{X}_{x,z} \) and we trivially have \( (x,\emptyset,\emptyset) \in P_0 \). Now by iteratively applying the claim from the previous paragraph we see that there are uncountably many \( (y,z) \) with \( (x,y \upharpoonright m, z \upharpoonright m) \in P_m \) for infinitely many \( m \). Any such \( (y,z) \) satisfies \( (x,y,z) \in B \), completing the proof. \(\square\)

The previous lemma gives an improved version of an injective selection theorem due to Graf and Mauldin \[11\].

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \( (X,\mu) \) be a standard probability space, let \( Y \) be a standard borel space, and let \( A \subseteq X \times Y \) be an analytic set such that \( \{(x \times Y) \cap A \) is uncountable for every \( x \in X \). Then there is a conull Borel set \( X' \subseteq X \) and a Borel injection \( f : X' \to Y \) whose graph is contained in \( A \).

**Proof.** If \( A \) is in fact Borel then this is a special case of a theorem of Graf and Mauldin \[11\]. By applying Lemma 2.1 we obtain a conull Borel set \( X'' \subseteq X \) and a Borel set \( A \subseteq A \) with \( \{(x \times Y) \cap A \) uncountable for every \( x \in X'' \). Now apply the
Graf–Mauldin theorem to obtain a conull Borel set \(X' \subseteq X''\) and a Borel injection \(f : X' \to Y\) whose graph is contained in \(\tilde{A} \subseteq A\).

Finally, we state the descriptive set theory result which we will need for proving Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \((X, \mu)\) be a standard probability space, let \(Y\) and \(Z\) be standard Borel spaces, let \(f : X \times Y \to Z\) be Borel, and let \(A \subseteq X \times Y\) be Borel with \(f(A \cap \{(x) \times Y\})\) uncountable for every \(x \in X\). Then there is a conull Borel set \(X'\) and a Borel function \(\phi : X' \to Y\) whose graph is contained in \(A\) such that the map \(x \in X' \mapsto f(x, \phi(x))\) is injective.

**Proof.** Set \(B = \{(x, f(x, y)) : (x, y) \in A\}\). Then \(B\) is analytic and \(B \cap \{(x) \times Z\}\) is uncountable for every \(x \in X\). Apply Corollary 2.2 to obtain a conull Borel set \(X'' \subseteq X\) and a Borel injection \(\psi : X'' \to Z\) whose graph is contained in \(B\).

Let \(A' \subseteq A\) be the set of \((x, y) \in A\) with \(x \in X''\) and \(f(x, y) = \psi(x)\). Then \(A'\) is Borel and \(A' \cap \{(x) \times Y\} \neq \emptyset\) for all \(x \in X''\). By the Jankov–von Neumann uniformization theorem \([14]\) Theorems 29.9, there is a \(\mu\)-measurable (but possibly not Borel measurable) function \(\phi_0 : X'' \to Y\) whose graph is contained in \(A'\).

Since \(\phi_0\) is \(\mu\)-measurable and \(Y\) is standard Borel, there exists a conull Borel set \(X' \subseteq X''\) such that the restriction \(\phi = \phi_0 \restriction X'\) is Borel measurable (this is true for finite-valued \(\mu\)-measurable functions, and by choosing a Polish topology on \(Y\) we can express \(\phi_0\) as the point-wise limit of finite-valued functions). The graph of \(\phi\) is still contained in \(A' \subseteq A\) and the map \(x \in X' \mapsto f(x, \phi(x)) = \psi(x)\) is injective. \(\square\)

### 3. Ergodic components and Bochner measurability

For a Borel action \(G \curvearrowright X\) on a standard Borel space \(X\), we write \(\mathcal{M}_G(X)\) for the set of \(G\)-invariant Borel probability measures and \(\mathcal{E}_G(X) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_G(X)\) for the ergodic measures. Recall that both \(\mathcal{M}_G(X)\) and \(\mathcal{E}_G(X)\) are standard Borel spaces. Their Borel \(\sigma\)-algebras are defined by requiring the map \(\mu \mapsto \mu(A)\) to be Borel measurable for every Borel set \(A \subseteq X\).

For a standard probability space \((X, \mu)\) we write \(\mathcal{F}_\mu\) for the \(\sigma\)-ideal of \(\mu\)-null Borel sets. For Borel sets \(A, B \subseteq X\) we write \(A = B \mod \mathcal{F}_\mu\) if \(A \triangle B \in \mathcal{F}_\mu\). Similarly for \(\sigma\)-algebras \(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)\) we write \(\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Sigma \mod \mathcal{F}_\mu\) if for every \(A \in \mathcal{F}\) there is \(B \in \Sigma\) with \(A = B \mod \mathcal{F}_\mu\). When \(\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Sigma \mod \mathcal{F}_\mu\) and \(\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{F} \mod \mathcal{F}_\mu\) we write \(\mathcal{F} = \Sigma \mod \mathcal{F}_\mu\). We will only write “\(\mod \mathcal{F}_\mu\)” to add clarity and emphasis, but frequently we will omit this notation when it is clear from context.

We say that a sub-\(\sigma\)-algebra \(\mathcal{F}\) is **countably generated** if there is a countable collection \(\xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)\) with \(\mathcal{F} = \sigma\text{-alg}(\xi)\) (a literal equality without discarding any null sets). It is well known that \(\mathcal{B}(X)\) is countably generated when \(X\) is standard Borel. Moreover, if \(\mu\) is a Borel probability measure on \(X\) and \(\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)\) is a \(\sigma\)-algebra, then there is a countably generated \(\sigma\)-algebra \(\mathcal{F}'\) with \(\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}' \mod \mathcal{F}_\mu\). Thus being countably generated is vacuously true modulo null sets. However, working with countably generated \(\sigma\)-algebras is vital when we consider ergodic decompositions, for otherwise strange things can happen. For example if \(\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(X)\) has continuum-many ergodic components and \(\mathcal{F} = \sigma\text{-alg}(\mathcal{F}_\mu)\), then for almost-every ergodic component \(\nu\) of \(\mu\) we will have \(\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(X) \mod \mathcal{F}_\nu\). We will also need to use the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a countably generated sub-$\sigma$-algebra, and let $\mu = \int_{\mathcal{G}(X)} \nu \, d\tau(\nu)$ be the ergodic decomposition of $\mu$. Then for every countable Borel partition $\xi$ of $X$ we have

$$H_\mu(\xi | \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}) = \int_{\mathcal{G}(X)} H_\nu(\xi | \mathcal{F}) \, d\tau(\nu).$$

Proof. This is likely well known. See [29, Lem. 2.2] for a short proof of a slightly more general fact. \hfill \Box

We also need the following well known result on ergodic decompositions.

Lemma 3.2 (Farrell [8], Varadarajan [32]). Let $X$ be a standard Borel space, let $G$ be a countable group, and let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a Borel action. Assume that $\mathcal{M}_G(X) \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a Borel surjection $x \mapsto \nu_x$ from $X$ onto $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ such that

1. if $x$ and $y$ are in the same orbit then $\nu_x = \nu_y$,
2. for each $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ we have $\nu(\{x \in X : \nu_x = \nu\}) = 1$, and
3. for each $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(X)$ we have $\mu = \int \nu_x \, d\mu(x)$.

In the proof outline discussed at the beginning of the previous section, we mentioned that we wanted a “Borel” map associating to each ergodic measure $\nu$ a partition $\alpha_\nu$. A priori it is not clear how to represent this as a map from $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$ to some standard Borel space. In this section we lay down the technical framework which will allow us to do so. We will also use an auxiliary notion of Bochner measurability and record some useful applications.

Definition 3.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be standard Borel spaces, let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a Borel action, and let $E \subseteq \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ be Borel. We say that a function $f : E \times Y \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ is Bochner measurable if there exists a sequence of countably-valued Borel functions (i.e. the pre-image of every point is a Borel set) $f_n : E \times Y \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(f(v,y) \Delta f_n(v,y)) = 0$ for all $(v,y) \in E \times Y$. If $\tau$ is a probability measure on $\mathcal{E}_G(X)$, we say $f : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ is Bochner measurable $\tau$-almost-everywhere if there is a $\tau$-conull set $E \subseteq \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ such that the restriction of $f$ to $E \times Y$ is Bochner measurable.

Lemma 3.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be standard Borel spaces and let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a Borel action. Then the set of Bochner measurable functions $f : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ form a coordinate-wise $G$-invariant algebra. More specifically, if $f, k : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ are Bochner measurable, then so are the functions sending $(\nu, y)$ to $X \setminus f(\nu, y)$, $f(\nu, y) \cup k(\nu, y)$, $f(\nu, y) \cap k(\nu, y)$, or $g \cdot f(\nu, y)$ (for any fixed $g \in G$). Furthermore, every constant function from $\mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y$ to $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is Bochner measurable.

Proof. Let $f_n, k_n : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ be the sequence of functions as described in the definition of Bochner measurability. Apply the same operations to $f_n, k_n$, and recall that each $\nu$ is $G$-invariant. The final claim is immediate from the definition. \hfill \Box

Lemma 3.5. Let $X$ and $Y$ be standard Borel spaces and let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a Borel action. If $f : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ is Bochner measurable, then the map $(\nu, y) \mapsto \nu(f(\nu, y))$ is Borel.

Proof. Let $f_n : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ be as in the definition of Bochner measurability. For each $n$ the function $f_n$ is countably-valued and Borel. Since for fixed $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$
the map \( \nu \mapsto \nu(A) \) is Borel, it follows that \((\nu, y) \mapsto \nu(f_n(\nu, y))\) is Borel. Therefore \(\nu(f(\nu, y)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(f_n(\nu, y))\) is Borel. \qed

Our interest in Bochner measurable functions comes from the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( X \) be a standard Borel space, let \( G \acts X \) be a Borel action, let \( f : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \to \mathcal{B}(X) \) be Bochner measurable, and let \( \Sigma \) be a countably generated \( \sigma \)-algebra. If \( f(\nu) \in \Sigma \mod \mathcal{N}_\nu \) for every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \), then there is a Borel set \( B \in \mathcal{I}_G \vee \Sigma \) which satisfies \( \nu(B \triangle f(\nu)) = 0 \) for every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \). In particular, if \( A \in \Sigma \mod \mathcal{N}_\nu \) for every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) then \( A \in \mathcal{I}_G \vee \Sigma \mod \mathcal{N}_\mu \) for every \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(X) \).

**Proof.** Fix a countable algebra \( \{C_0, C_1, \ldots\} \) which generates \( \Sigma \). Fix \( \epsilon > 0 \) and for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( D_{n, \epsilon} \) be the set of \( \nu \) such that \( n \) is least with \( \nu(C_n \triangle f(\nu)) < \epsilon \). Then \( \{D_{n, \epsilon} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) is a Borel partition of \( \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 since \( \nu \mapsto C_n \triangle f(\nu) \) is Bochner measurable. Let \( \{D'_{n, \epsilon} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be the associated \( \mathcal{I}_G \)-measurable partition of \( X \). Define \( B_\epsilon = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (D'_{n, \epsilon} \cap C_n) \). The Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that \( B = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{m \geq k} B_{2^{-m}} \) satisfies \( \nu(B \triangle f(\nu)) = 0 \) for all \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \). Also, \( B \in \mathcal{I}_G \vee \Sigma \) as claimed. The final claim also follows by using the Bochner measurable (constant) function \( f(\nu) = A \). \qed

The next lemma introduces a useful \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \).

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \( G \acts (X, \mu) \) be an aperiodic p.m.p. action. Let \( \mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu d\tau(\nu) \) be the ergodic decomposition of \( \mu \). Then there is a countably generated sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) such that

1. for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) we have \( \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(X) \mod \mathcal{N}_\nu \);
2. for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) and for every \( B \in \mathcal{M} \) we have \( \nu(B) = \mu(B) \);
3. \( \mathcal{I}_G \vee \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(X) \mod \mathcal{N}_\mu \).

**Proof.** Let \( \phi : (X, \mu) \to (\mathcal{E}_G(X), \tau) \) be the ergodic decomposition map given by Lemma 3.2. Aperiodicity implies that the fiber measures \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) are non-atomic. So the Rokhlin skew-product theorem \[10\] Theorem 3.18\[4\] implies that there is a measure space isomorphism \( \psi : (X, \mu) \to (\mathcal{E}_G(X) \times [0,1], \tau \times \lambda) \), where \( \lambda \) is Lebesgue measure, such that \( \phi \) equals \( \psi \) composed with the projection map. View \( B([0, 1]) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_G(X) \times [0,1]) \) in the natural way and set \( \mathcal{M} = \psi^{-1}(B([0,1])) \). Clauses (i) and (ii) are satisfied since \( \psi_\nu(\nu) = \delta_\nu \times \lambda \) for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \), and (iii) is satisfied since \( \psi^{-1}(B(\mathcal{E}_G(X))) = \mathcal{I}_G \mod \mathcal{N}_\mu \). \qed

The \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) allows us to return to discussing Borel measurable functions, while still being able to use Bochner measurability. Below we write \( \mu \mid \mathcal{M} \) for the restriction of \( \mu \) to the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{M} \), and we let \( \text{MALG}_{\mu \mid \mathcal{M}} \) denote the corresponding measure-algebra. Specifically, \( \text{MALG}_{\mu \mid \mathcal{M}} \) consists of the classes \([A]_\mu \), where \( A \in \mathcal{M} \) and \([A]_\mu = \{B \in \mathcal{M} : \mu(A \triangle B) = 0\} \), equipped with the complete separable metric \( d([A]_\mu, [B]_\mu) = \mu(A \triangle B) \). In particular, \( \text{MALG}_{\mu \mid \mathcal{M}} \) is a standard Borel space. The operations of union, intersection, complement and the function \( \mu \) clearly descend to \( \text{MALG}_{\mu \mid \mathcal{M}} \). Furthermore, by Lemma 3.7(ii) \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) descends to \( \text{MALG}_{\mu \mid \mathcal{M}} \) and in fact coincides with \( \mu \) on this space.

\[1\]The proof of \[10\] Theorem 3.18 assumes ergodicity, however that assumption is only used to conclude that all fiber measures have the same number of atoms. Since all fiber measures are non-atomic in our case, we can apply this result without requiring ergodicity. See also \[23\].
Lemma 3.8. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, $\tau$, and $\mathcal{M}$ be as in Lemma 3.4 and let $Y$ be a standard Borel space. If $f : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \text{MALG}_{\mu\mid \mathcal{M}}$ is Borel, then any function $\hat{f} : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $[\hat{f}(\nu, y)]_\mu = f(\nu, y)$ is Bochner measurable $\tau$-almost-everywhere.

Proof. Let $\{[M_0]_\mu, [M_1]_\mu, \ldots\}$ be a countable dense subset of $\text{MALG}_{\mu\mid \mathcal{M}}$. Define $q_n : \text{MALG}_{\mu\mid \mathcal{M}} \to \{M_0, M_1, \ldots\}$ by setting $q_n([B]_\mu) = M_k$ if $k$ is least with $\mu(B \Delta M_k) < 1/n$. Then $q_n \circ f : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times Y \to \{M_0, M_1, \ldots\}$ is a countable-valued Borel function. Finally, for $\tau$-almost-every $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ and every $y \in Y$ Lemma 3.7 (ii) gives

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(\hat{f}(\nu, y) \triangle q_n \circ f(\nu, y)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\hat{f}(\nu, y) \triangle q_n \circ f(\nu, y)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(f(\nu, y) \triangle q_n \circ f(\nu, y)) = 0. \qed$$

Lastly, we consider sufficient conditions for a partition $\alpha$ to satisfy $\sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha) \supseteq \mathcal{J}_G$. For a partition $\alpha = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, define $\theta^n : X \to \mathbb{N}^G$ by the rule $\theta^n(x)(y) = i \iff g^{-1} \cdot x \in A_i$. Note that $\theta^n$ is $G$-equivariant, where the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{N}^G$ is given by $(g \cdot y)(t) = y(g^{-1} \cdot t)$ for $y \in \mathbb{N}^G$ and $g, t \in G$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $\mu = \int \mathcal{E}_G(X) \nu \ d\tau(\nu)$ be the ergodic decomposition of $\mu$. If $\alpha = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a partition and the map $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \to \theta^*_\nu(\nu)$ is injective on a $\tau$-conull set then $\mathcal{J}_G \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu$.

Proof. Fix a symmetric probability measure $\lambda$ on $G$ whose support generates $G$, and let $\lambda^\alpha$ denote the $n^{th}$ convolution power of $\lambda$. Let $\pi : (X, \mu) \to (\mathcal{E}_G(X), \tau)$ be the ergodic decomposition map given by Lemma 3.2. For any finite $T \subseteq G$ and function $f : T \to \mathbb{N}$ set $A_f = \bigcap_{t \in T} t \cdot A_{f(t)}$ and set $B_f = \{y \in \mathbb{N}^G : \forall t \in T \ y(t) = f(t)\}$. By the Kakutani ergodic theorem [13] (see also the work of Oseledets [23]) we have that for $\mu$-almost-every $x \in X$

$$\theta^*_\pi(\pi(x))(B_f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{G}} \lambda^\alpha(y) \cdot \chi_{A_f}(y \cdot x),$$

where $\chi_C$ is the indicator function for $C \subseteq X$. It follows that the map $x \mapsto \theta^*_\nu(\pi(x))$ is $\sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{R}_\mu$-measurable. By assumption, there is a $\tau$-conull Borel set $E \subseteq \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ so that $\theta^*_\nu$ is injective on $E$. So there exists a Borel function $\psi : \mathcal{E}_G(\mathbb{N}^G) \to \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ such that $\psi \circ \theta^*_\nu$ is the identity map on $E$. So $\pi(x) = \psi \circ \theta^*_\nu(\pi(x))$ for $\mu$-almost-every $x \in X$, and thus $\pi$ is $\sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{R}_\mu$-measurable. We conclude that $\mathcal{J}_G \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu$. \qed

Corollary 3.10. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be an aperiodic p.m.p. action. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a two-piece partition $\alpha$ of $X$ with $H(\alpha) < \epsilon$ and with $\mathcal{J}_G \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be as in Lemma 3.7. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $0 < \delta < 1/2$ be small enough that $-\delta \cdot \log(\delta) - (1 - \delta) \cdot \log(1 - \delta) < \epsilon$. Using the aperiodicity assumption, we can pick a Borel map $f : \mathcal{E}_G(X) \to \text{MALG}_{\mu\mid \mathcal{M}}$ with $\mu(f(\nu)) < \delta$ for all $\nu$ and with $\nu \mapsto \mu(f(\nu))$ injective. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8 there is a Borel set $A$ with $\nu(A \Delta f(\nu)) = 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$. Set $\alpha = \{A, X \setminus A\}$. Note that $\nu(A) = \nu(f(\nu)) = \mu(f(\nu))$. Therefore $\mu(A) < \delta$ and $H(\alpha) < \epsilon$. Furthermore $\nu \mapsto \nu(A)$ is injective, so the map $\nu \mapsto \theta^*_\nu(\nu)$ is injective as well. Thus $\mathcal{J}_G \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu$ by Lemma 3.9. \qed
4. Generating partitions for non-ergodic actions

In this section we prove the main theorem, Theorem 4.1. We will need to rely on the following strong form of the main theorem from Part I.

**Theorem 4.1 (27).** Let $G \acts (X, \mu)$ be an aperiodic ergodic p.m.p. action, let $\xi \subseteq B(X)$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra. If $0 < r \leq 1$ and $p = (p_i)$ is a probability vector satisfying $h^\mathrm{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) < r \cdot H(p)$, then there is a collection $\alpha^* = \{A^*_i : 0 \leq i < |p|\}$ of pairwise disjoint Borel sets such that $\mu(A^*_i) = r \cdot p_i$ and such that $\xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F}$ whenever $\alpha = \{A_i : 0 \leq i < |p|\}$ is a partition with $A_i \supseteq A^*_i$ for every $i$.

**Proof.** Combine [27] Theorem 8.1 with [24] Lemma 2.2. \hfill \Box

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be as in Lemma 3.7. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_M$ the set of sequences $\alpha = \{[A_i]_\mu : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in (\mathrm{MALG}_{\mu, \mathcal{M}})^\mathbb{N}$ where $\alpha = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{M}$-measurable partition of $X$ (some $A_i$ may be empty). Note that $\mathcal{P}_M$ is a Borel subset of $(\mathrm{MALG}_{\mu, \mathcal{M}})^\mathbb{N}$ and is thus a standard Borel space. For notational convenience we will treat each $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_M$ as a $\mathcal{M}$-measurable partition $\alpha = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $X$. This will not cause problems since any two choices for expressing $\alpha$ in this way will only differ on a $\mu$-null set. Moreover, by Lemma 3.7(ii) they will only differ on a $\nu$-null set for $\tau$-almost-every $\nu$.

For a partition $\alpha = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, define $\theta^\alpha : X \to \mathbb{N}^G$ as in the previous section: $\theta^\alpha(x)(g) = i \iff g^{-1} \cdot x \in A_i$. Also write $\text{dist}_\mu(\alpha)$ for the probability vector whose $(i + 1)\text{st}$ entry is $\mu(A_i)$.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $G \acts (X, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be as in Lemma 3.7. Let $\xi \subseteq B(X)$ be countable, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a countably generated $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra, and let $\nu \mapsto \bar{\nu}$ be a Borel map associating to each $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ a probability vector $\bar{\nu}^\times$ satisfying $h^\mathrm{Rok}_{G, \bar{\nu}}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) < H(\bar{\nu}^\times)$.

(i) The set of $(\nu, \alpha) \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times \mathcal{P}_M \mapsto \theta^\alpha(\nu)$ is Borel.

(ii) The set $Z$ of pairs $(\nu, \alpha) \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times \mathcal{P}_M$ satisfying $\text{dist}_\mu(\alpha) = \bar{\nu}^\times$ and $\xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F}$ mod $\mathcal{R}_\nu$ is Borel.

(iii) For $\tau$-almost-every $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)$ the set $(\theta^\alpha(\nu) : (\nu, \alpha) \in Z)$ is uncountable.

**Proof.** (ii). The set of $(\nu, \alpha)$ with $\text{dist}_\mu(\alpha) = \bar{\nu}^\times$ is clearly Borel, so it suffices to show that $\{(\nu, \alpha) : \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F} \mod \mathcal{R}_\nu\}$ is Borel. Let $\{F_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable $G$-invariant algebra which generates $\mathcal{F}$. Write $\mathbb{N}^CG$ for the set of functions $f : T \to \mathbb{N}$ with $T \subseteq G$ finite. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $f : T \to \mathbb{N}$, and $\alpha = \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{P}_M$, define $S(i, f)(\alpha) = F_i \cap \bigcap_{t \in T} t \cdot A_{f(t)}$. For finite sets $P \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^CG$ define $S_P(\alpha) = \bigcup_{(i, f) \in P} S(i, f)(\alpha)$. Then $\{S_P(\alpha) : P \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^CG \text{ finite}\}$ is a countable $G$-invariant algebra which generates $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F}$. So we have $\xi = \{D_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is contained in $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F}$ mod $\mathcal{R}_\nu$ if and only if

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists \text{finite } P \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^CG \nu(D_k \triangle S_P(\alpha)) < 1/n.$$ 

For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and finite $P \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^CG$, the map $(\nu, \alpha) \mapsto \nu(D_k \triangle S_P(\alpha))$ is Borel by Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, and 3.8. This establishes (ii).

(i). The map $(\nu, \alpha) \mapsto \theta^\alpha(\nu)$ is Borel if and only if $(\nu, \alpha) \mapsto \theta^\alpha(\nu)(B)$ is Borel for every Borel set $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^G$. The collection of such sets $B$ clearly forms a $\sigma$-algebra, so it suffices to check the case where $B$ is clopen. This case is immediately implied by our argument for (ii).
(iii). Fix \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) with \( \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(X) \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \) and with \( \nu \) non-atomic. By assumption \( h_{G,\nu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) < H(\tilde{p}^\nu) \). So we can find \( 0 < r < 1 \) with \( h_{G,\nu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) < r \cdot H(\tilde{p}^\nu) \). By Theorem 4.1 there is a collection \( \alpha^* = \{ A_i^*: i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) of pairweise disjoint Borel sets with \( \mu(A_i^*) = r \cdot p_i^\nu \) for every \( i \) and with \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{F} \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \) whenever \( \alpha = \{ A_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is a partition with \( A_i \supseteq A_i^* \). Since \( \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(X) \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \), we may assume that \( \alpha^* \subseteq \mathcal{M} \). Since \( \nu \) is ergodic and non-atomic, there are no non-identity \( g \in G \) and non-null disjoint \( \mathcal{M} \)-measurable sets \( Y_0, Y_1 \subseteq X \setminus \alpha^* \) with \( g \cdot Y_0 = Y_1 \). By replacing \( Y_0, Y_1 \) with translates \( g^{-k} \cdot Y_0, g^{-k} \cdot Y_1, k \in \mathbb{N} \), and by shrinking \( Y_0 \) if necessary, we may assume that there is \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( g^{-1} \cdot Y_0 \subseteq A_n^* \). Fix this \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Now fix \( \mathcal{M} \)-measurable partitions \( \beta = \{ B_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) of \( X \setminus (Y_0 \cup Y_1 \cup (\cup \alpha^*)) \) and \( \gamma = \{ C_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) of \( Y_0 \) with \( \nu(B_i) = \nu(\cup \beta) \cdot p_i^\nu \) and \( \nu(C_i) = \nu(Y_0) \cdot p_i^\nu \). Fix a continuous path \( \lambda : \{ K_i^*: i \in \mathbb{N} \} \), \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \), of \( \mathcal{M} \)-measurable partitions of \( Y_0 \) such that \( \chi^0 = \gamma, \chi^1 \) is independent with \( \gamma \) on \( Y_0 \) (i.e. \( \nu(K_i^1 \cap C_j) / \nu(Y_0) = \nu(K_i^1) / \nu(Y_0)^2 \) for every \( i, j \in \mathbb{N} \)), and \( \nu(K_i^1) = \nu(Y_0) \cdot p_i^\nu \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \). Set \( \alpha^t = \{ A_i^*: i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) where

\[
A_i^t = A_i^* \cup B_i \cup K_i^1 \cup g \cdot C_i.
\]

Then \( \nu(A_i^t) = p_i^\nu \) and \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}(\alpha^t) \cap \mathcal{F} \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \) since \( A_i^t \supseteq A_i^* \). So \( (\nu, \alpha^t) \in Z \). Note that the restriction \( \alpha^t \upharpoonright (X \setminus Y_0) \) does not depend on \( t \). In particular, for \( i, j \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
\nu(A_i^t \cap g^{-1} \cdot A_j^t) = \nu(A_i^t \cap (X \setminus (Y_0 \cup g^{-1} \cdot Y_0)))
\]

do not depend on \( t \). If we let \( \delta_n(i) = 1 \) if \( i = n \) and \( 0 \) otherwise, then we have

\[
\nu(A_i^t \cap g^{-1} \cdot A_j^t) = \nu(A_i^t \cap g^{-1} \cdot A_j^t \cap (X \setminus (Y_0 \cup g^{-1} \cdot Y_0))) + \nu(Y_0) \cdot p_j^\nu \cdot \delta_n(i) + \nu(K_i^1 \cap C_j).
\]

With \( i, j \) fixed, only the final term can vary with \( t \). By construction, for every \( i, j \) with \( p_i^\nu, p_j^\nu > 0 \) the quantity \( \nu(K_i^1 \cap C_j) \) takes uncountably many values as \( t \) varies. Thus for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \) there are uncountably many images \( \theta_n^t(\nu) \).

Now we are ready for the main theorem. Note we obtain the weaker Theorem 1.2 by choosing a countable collection \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) with \( \sigma\text{-alg}(\xi) = \mathcal{B}(X) \).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be an aperiodic p.m.p. action, let \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) be countable, let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a countably generated \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra, and let \( \mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu \, \text{d}r(\nu) \) be the ergodic decomposition of \( \mu \). If \( \nu \mapsto \tilde{p}^\nu = \{ p_i^\nu : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is a Borel map associating to each \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) a probability vector \( \tilde{p}^\nu \) satisfying \( h_{G,\nu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) < H(\tilde{p}^\nu) \), then there is a Borel partition \( \alpha = \{ A_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) of \( X \) such that \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{F} \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \) and such that \( \nu(A_i) = p_i^\nu \) for every \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be as given by Lemma 3.7. Let \( A \subseteq \mathcal{E}_G(X) \times \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \) be the set of pairs \( (\nu, \alpha) \) such that \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{F} \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \) and \( \text{dist}_A(\nu, \alpha) = \tilde{p}^\nu \). Note that for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) and every \( \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \) we have \( \text{dist}_A(\nu, \alpha) = \text{dist}_A(\nu, \alpha) \) by Lemma 3.7(ii). Lemma 4.2 shows that \( A \) and the function \( (\nu, \alpha) \mapsto \theta_n^\nu(\nu) \) satisfy the assumption of Proposition 2.3. So that proposition gives a \( \tau \)-conull Borel set \( E \subseteq \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) and a Borel function \( \phi : E \to \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M} \) whose graph is contained in \( A \) and with the map \( \nu \in E \to \tilde{p}^\nu(\nu) \) injective.

By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8 there is a Borel partition \( \alpha \) of \( X \) such that \( \phi(\nu) = \alpha \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \) for every \( \nu \in E \). Then \( \text{dist}_A(\nu) = \tilde{p}^\nu \) for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in E \). Also \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{F} \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \) for every \( \nu \in E \), so Lemma 3.6 implies that \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cap \sigma\text{-alg}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{F} \) mod \( \mathcal{R}_\nu \). On the other hand, \( \nu \in E \to \tilde{p}^\nu(\nu) \) is injective.
by construction and thus \( \mathcal{I}_G \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu \) by Lemma 3.9. Therefore \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu \).

As a consequence we obtain an ergodic decomposition formula for Rokhlin entropy.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action, let \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) be countable, and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a countably generated \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra. If \( \mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu \, d\tau(\nu) \) is the ergodic decomposition of \( \mu \) then

\[
h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \, d\tau(\nu).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( X_\infty \subseteq X \) be the set of points having an infinite \( G \)-orbit. Also let \( E_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) be the set of \( \nu \) for which \( G \curvearrowright (X, \nu) \) is aperiodic. Note that the probability measure \( \mu_\infty = \frac{1}{\tau(E_\infty)} \int_{E_\infty} \nu \, d\tau(\nu) \) is \( G \)-invariant and supported on \( X_\infty \).

Fix \( \epsilon > 0 \) and fix a Borel map \( \nu \in E_\infty \mapsto \bar{\nu} \) satisfying \( H(\bar{\nu}) = h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon \).

By Theorem 4.3 there is a partition \( \alpha_0 \) of \( X_\infty \) satisfying \( H_\nu(\alpha_0) = h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon \) for all \( \nu \in E_\infty \) and \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha_0) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu \). Let \( X_* \) be the set of points \( x \in X \setminus X_\infty \) such that the restriction \( \xi \mid G \cdot x \) is not a subset of \( \mathcal{F} \mid G \cdot x \). Since all orbits in \( X_* \) are finite, there is a Borel set \( B \subseteq X_* \) which meets every orbit in \( X_* \) precisely once. Set \( \alpha = \alpha_0 \cup \{ B, X \setminus (X_\infty \cup B) \} \). Note that \( \alpha \) is a partition of \( X \) and that \( H_\nu(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F}) = h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \) for all \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \setminus E_\infty \). By our choice of \( B \) and \( \alpha_0 \), we have that \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu \). So by definition of Rokhlin entropy and Lemma 3.1 we have

\[
h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq H_\mu(\alpha \mid \mathcal{I}_G \vee \mathcal{F}) = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} H_\nu(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F}) \, d\tau(\nu)
\leq \epsilon + \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \, d\tau(\nu).
\]

By letting \( \epsilon \) tend to 0 we obtain one inequality.

For the reverse inequality, suppose that \( \alpha \) is a countable partition satisfying \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu \). Since \( \xi \) is countable, for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) we have \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \mod \mathcal{R}_\mu \). It follows that \( \tau \)-almost-always \( H_\nu(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F}) \geq h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \). Therefore applying Lemma 3.1 we get

\[
H_\mu(\alpha \mid \mathcal{I}_G \vee \mathcal{F}) = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} H_\nu(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F}) \, d\tau(\nu) \geq \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \, d\tau(\nu).
\]

Now take the infimum over all such \( \alpha \) to obtain \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \) on the left-hand side. \( \square \)

Using Theorem 4.3 and the ergodic decomposition formula, we also obtain an alternate expression for Rokhlin entropy for aperiodic actions. From the original definition, the expressions \( H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{I}_G) \) and \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{I}_G \) are exchanged with \( H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{I}_G) \) and \( \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F} \) in the expression below.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be an aperiodic p.m.p. action, let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra, and let \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \). Then \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \) is equal to

\[
\inf \left\{ H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{I}_G) : \alpha \text{ a countable partition with } \xi \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F} \right\}.
\]
Proof. Denote the above expression by \( h(\xi, \mathcal{F}) \). Let \( \xi' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) be countable with \( \sigma\text{-alg}(\xi') = \sigma\text{-alg}(\xi) \mod \mathcal{I}_\mu \) and let \( \mathcal{F}' \) be a countably generated \( \sigma\text{-algebra} \) with \( \mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \mod \mathcal{I}_\mu \). Clearly \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi' \mid \mathcal{F}') = h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq h(\xi, \mathcal{F}) = h(\xi', \mathcal{F}'). \) On the other hand, by Theorem 4.3 we can obtain, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), a partition \( \alpha \) satisfying \( \xi' \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F}' \) and \( H_\nu(\alpha) \leq h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi' \mid \mathcal{F}') + \epsilon \) for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \), where \( \tau \) is such that \( \mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu \, d\tau(\nu) \). By applying Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 3.1 we obtain

\[
h(\xi', \mathcal{F}') \leq H_\mu(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F}_G) = \int_{\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} H_\nu(\alpha) \, d\tau(\nu) \leq \int_{\nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X)} h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi' \mid \mathcal{F}') \, d\tau(\nu) + \epsilon = h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi' \mid \mathcal{F}') + \epsilon.
\]

Since \( \epsilon > 0 \) was arbitrary, this completes the proof. \( \square \)

Lastly, we verify that Rokhlin entropy is countably sub-additive. At the moment, this is arguably the most useful property for studying Rokhlin entropy. At first glance, this property may seem like an immediate consequence of the definitions, but this is not so. For example, this sub-additive property implies that if \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha \vee \beta) = \mathcal{B}(X) \) then \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu) \leq H(\alpha) + H(\beta \mid \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha)) \). Its proof relies critically upon Theorem 1.3 (or Theorem 1.3 in the ergodic case).

Corollary 4.6. Let \( G \acts (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action, let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma\text{-algebra} \), and let \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \). If \( (\Sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is an increasing sequence of \( G\)-invariant sub-\( \sigma\text{-algebras} \) with \( \xi \subseteq \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_n \vee \mathcal{F} \) then

\[
h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\Sigma_2 \mid \mathcal{F}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\Sigma_n \mid \Sigma_{n-1} \vee \mathcal{F}).
\]

Proof. Let \( \xi' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) be countable with \( \sigma\text{-alg}(\xi) = \sigma\text{-alg}(\xi') \mod \mathcal{I}_\mu \). Also fix countably generated \( \sigma\text{-algebra}s \mathcal{F}' \) and \( \Sigma_n' \) with \( \mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \mod \mathcal{I}_\mu \) and \( \Sigma_n' = \Sigma_n \mod \mathcal{I}_\mu \). Clearly \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi' \mid \mathcal{F}') = h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \) and \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\Sigma_n' \mid \Sigma_{n-1} \vee \mathcal{F}') = h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\Sigma_n \mid \Sigma_{n-1} \vee \mathcal{F}) \). It was recorded in [28] Cor. 2.5 that for ergodic \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) we have

\[
h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi' \mid \mathcal{F}') \leq h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\Sigma_2' \mid \mathcal{F}') + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\Sigma_n' \mid \Sigma_{n-1} \vee \mathcal{F}').
\]

Now integrate over \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) and apply Corollary 4.4. \( \square \)

Corollary 4.7. Let \( G \acts (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action, and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma\text{-algebra} \). If \( G \acts (Y, \nu) \) is a factor of \( (X, \mu) \) and \( \Sigma \) is the associated \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma\text{-algebra}, then

\[
h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(Y, \nu) + h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F} \vee \Sigma).
\]

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.6 using \( \xi = \mathcal{B}(X) \) and note that \( h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\Sigma \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(Y, \nu) \). \( \square \)

5. Size of generators

In this section we relate the Rokhlin entropy of aperiodic actions to the size of generating partitions. In particular, for an aperiodic action \( G \acts (X, \mu) \) we will compare Rokhlin entropy with the natural quantity

\[
\inf \left\{ H(\alpha) : \alpha \text{ is a countable generating partition} \right\}.
\]
Notice this quantity does not condition on \( \mathcal{F}_G \). It is larger and quite different than Rokhlin entropy for non-ergodic actions, and it appears to be quite difficult to compute even for simple systems like a weighted average of a Bernoulli 2-shift and a Bernoulli 3-shift. Here we will show that this quantity is roughly related to Rokhlin entropy.

We will need the following property of Shannon entropy. Below, for \( x, y \in [0, 1] \) with \( x + y = 1 \) we write \( H(x, y) \) for \(-x \log(x) - y \log(y)\).

**Lemma 5.1.** \([7, \text{Fact 4.3.7}]\) Let \( \bar{p} \) be a probability vector with \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)p_n < \infty \). Define \( \psi : [1, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( \psi(t) = t \cdot H(\frac{1}{t}, 1 - \frac{1}{t}) \). Then

\[
H(\bar{p}) \leq \psi \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)p_n \right).
\]

Direct computations show that \( \psi(t) \to 0 \) as \( t \to 1 \), \( \psi \) is increasing, and \( \psi'(t) \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \).

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( G \actson (X, \mu) \) be an aperiodic p.m.p. action, let \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \), and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra. Then

\[
h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) \leq \inf \left\{ H(\alpha) : \alpha \text{ is a countable partition and } \sigma \text{-alg}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq \xi \right\} \leq \inf \left\{ \sqrt{h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi | \mathcal{F})} + \phi \left( 1 + \sqrt{h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi | \mathcal{F})} \right) \right\}.
\]

*Proof.* Assume that \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) < \infty \), as otherwise there is nothing to show. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( \mathcal{F} \) is countably generated and that \( \xi \) is countable. Set \( h = h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) \). Let \( \mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu \, d\tau(\nu) \) be the ergodic decomposition of \( \mu \). By Lemma 3.2 there is a \( G \)-invariant Borel map \( \rho : X \to \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) sending each \( x \in X \) to an invariant ergodic probability measure \( \rho(x) \) such that \( \rho_*^\tau(\mu) = \tau \) and \( \nu(\rho^{-1}(\nu)) = 1 \) for every \( \nu \).

For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), let

\[
Q_n = \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) : n \cdot \sqrt{h} \geq h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) \right\}
\]

and set \( P_n = \rho^{-1}(Q_n) \). Note that \( \mathcal{P} = \{ P_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is a \( \mathcal{F}_G \)-measurable partition of \( X \). Also notice that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(P_n) \cdot (n+1) = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} n \sqrt{h} \cdot \mu(P_n) \leq 1 + \frac{h}{\sqrt{h}} = 1 + \sqrt{h}.
\]

Since \( \phi \) is an increasing function, by Lemma 3.1 we have \( H_\mu(\mathcal{P}) \leq \phi(1 + \sqrt{h}) \).

For each \( n \) fix a probability vector \( \bar{r}^n \) with \( H(\bar{r}^n) = (n+1) \cdot \sqrt{h} \). Note that for each \( \nu \in Q_n \) we have \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) \leq H(\bar{r}^n) \). By Theorem 4.3 there is a countable partition \( \alpha = \{ A_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) such that \( \sigma \text{-alg}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(X) \) and such that for every \( n \) and \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in Q_n \) we have \( \nu(A_i) = r_i^n \). We claim that \( H(\alpha) \leq h + \sqrt{h} + \phi(1 + \sqrt{h}) \).

For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), define \( \mu_n \) by \( \mu_n(B) = \frac{\mu(B \cap P_n)}{\mu(P_n)} \) for Borel \( B \subseteq X \). Equivalently,

\[
\mu_n = \frac{1}{Q_n} \cdot \int_{Q_n} \nu \, d\tau(\nu).
\]

By construction we have \( \mu_n(A_i) = r_i^n \), so \( H_{\mu_n}(\alpha) = H(\bar{r}^n) = (n+1) \cdot \sqrt{h} \). Therefore

\[
H(\alpha | \mathcal{P}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(P_n) \cdot H_{\mu_n}(\alpha) \leq h + \sqrt{h}.
\]
We conclude that \( H(\alpha) \leq H(\alpha \lor \mathcal{P}) = H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{P}) + H(\mathcal{P}) \leq h + \sqrt{h} + \phi(1 + \sqrt{h}) \). \( \square \)

Choosing a countable collection \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) satisfying \( \sigma\text{-alg}(\xi) = \mathcal{B}(X) \), the corollary below implies Theorem 1.4 from the introduction.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be an aperiodic p.m.p. action, let \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) be countable, and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a countably generated \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra.

1. \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) = 0 \) if and only if for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is a two-piece partition \( \alpha \) with \( H(\alpha) < \epsilon \) and \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \supseteq \xi \).
2. The Rokhlin entropy \( h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \) is essentially bounded over the ergodic components \( \nu \) of \( \mu \) if and only if there is a finite partition \( \alpha \) with \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \supseteq \xi \).
3. \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) < \infty \) if and only if there is a partition \( \alpha \) with \( H(\alpha) < \infty \) and \( \sigma\text{-alg}(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \supseteq \xi \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu \, d\tau(\nu) \) be the ergodic decomposition of \( \mu \). If \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \supseteq \xi \) then \( \xi \) is countable it follows that for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) we have \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \supseteq \xi \) and \( \mathcal{N}(\nu) \). So, in each of (1), (2), and (3) the “if” direction follows from the ergodic decomposition formula (Corollary 4.4) and the fact that \( h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq H(\mu) \). We now show “only if” for each of the cases.

1. By Corollary 4.4 we have \( h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) = 0 \) for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \). Pick a length-two probability vector \( \vec{p} \) with \( 0 < H(\vec{p}) < \epsilon \). Apply Theorem 4.3 to obtain a partition \( \alpha \) with \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \supseteq \xi \) and with \( \text{dist}_\nu(\alpha) = \vec{p} \) for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \). Then \( \text{dist}_\nu(\alpha) = \vec{p} \) and \( H(\alpha) = H(\vec{p}) < \epsilon \).
2. Say \( h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) < \log(k) \) for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \). Set \( \vec{p} = (\frac{1}{k}, \ldots, \frac{1}{k}) \) and apply Theorem 4.3 to obtain a \( k \)-piece partition \( \alpha \) with \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \supseteq \xi \).
3. This is immediate from Theorem 5.2. \( \square \)

The above corollary is stated for aperiodic actions. With some modifications we can remove that assumption. Below, for an action \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) we write \( \mathcal{F}_G^{\text{fin}} \) for the \( \sigma \)-algebra generated by the Borel \( G \)-invariant sets consisting only of points having finite \( G \)-orbits. In other words, writing \( X_{<\infty} = \{ x \in X : |G \cdot x| < \infty \} \), the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{F}_G^{\text{fin}} \) consists precisely of those Borel sets \( A \subseteq X \) such that \( A \) is \( G \)-invariant and either \( A \) or \( X \setminus A \) is a subset \( X_{<\infty} \).

**Corollary 5.4.** Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action, let \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \) be countable, and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a countably generated \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra.

1. \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) = 0 \) if and only if for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is a two-piece partition \( \alpha \) with \( H(\alpha) < \epsilon \) and \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{F}_G^{\text{fin}} \supseteq \xi \).
2. The Rokhlin entropy \( h_{G,\nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \) is essentially bounded over the ergodic components \( \nu \) of \( \mu \) if and only if there is a finite partition \( \alpha \) with \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{F}_G^{\text{fin}} \supseteq \xi \).
3. \( h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) < \infty \) if and only if there is a partition \( \alpha \) with \( H(\alpha) < \infty \) and \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F} \lor \mathcal{F}_G^{\text{fin}} \supseteq \xi \).

**Proof.** In all three cases, the “if” direction holds for the same reason given in the proof of the previous corollary. So we focus on the “only if.” Set \( X_\infty = \{ x \in X : |G \cdot x| = \infty \} \) and set \( X_{<\infty} = X \setminus X_\infty \). Let \( \mu_\infty \) and \( \mu_{<\infty} \) denote the normalized restrictions of \( \mu \) to \( X_\infty \) and \( X_{<\infty} \), respectively. By Corollary 4.4,

\[
h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) = \mu(X) \cdot h_{G,\mu_\infty}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) + \mu_{<\infty} \cdot h_{G,\mu_{<\infty}}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}).
\]
In each of the cases (1) - (3), we can consider the restricted action $G \curvearrowright (X_\infty, \mu_\infty)$ and apply the previous corollary to obtain a partition $\alpha_\infty$ of $X_\infty$ with the desired properties. Notice that if $\sigma$-alg$_G(\alpha) \vee F \vee \mathcal{F}^\text{fin}_G$ contains $\xi$ both mod $\mathcal{R}_{\mu, \infty}$ and mod $\mathcal{R}_{\mu, \infty}$, then it contains $\xi$ mod $\mathcal{R}_\mu$ since $\{X_\infty, X_{<\infty}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^\text{fin}_G$.

In case (1), Corollary 4.4 implies that $h^\text{Rok}_{G, \nu}(\xi \mid F) = 0$ for almost-every ergodic component $\nu$ of $\mu_{<\infty}$. It is an easy exercise to check that if $\nu$ is supported on a finite orbit and $h^\text{Rok}_{G, \nu}(\xi \mid F) = 0$ then $\xi \subseteq F$ mod $\mathcal{R}_\mu$. So it follows from Lemma 3.6 that $\xi \subseteq F \vee \mathcal{F}^\text{fin}_G$ mod $\mathcal{R}_{\mu, \infty}$. So we may combine $X_{<\infty}$ with the class of $\alpha_\infty$ of largest measure to get a two-piece partition $\alpha$ with $H_\mu(\alpha) \leq H_{\mu, \infty}(\alpha_\infty) < \epsilon$. Clearly we will have $\xi \subseteq \sigma$-alg$_G(\alpha) \vee F \vee \mathcal{F}^\text{fin}_G$ mod $\mathcal{R}_\mu$.

Now consider cases (2) and (3). Choose a Borel set $B \subseteq X_{<\infty}$ which meets every finite $G$-orbit precisely once and set $\alpha_{<\infty} = \{B, X_{<\infty} \setminus B\}$, and $\alpha = \alpha_{\infty} \cup \alpha_{<\infty}$. It is easily checked that for every measure $\nu$ supported on a finite $G$-orbit we have $\sigma$-alg$_G(\alpha) = B(X) \supseteq \xi$ mod $\mathcal{R}_\mu$. So Lemma 3.6 implies that $\sigma$-alg$_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F}^\text{fin}_G \supseteq \xi$ mod $\mathcal{R}_{\mu, \infty}$. Therefore $\sigma$-alg$_G(\alpha) \vee F \vee \mathcal{F}^\text{fin}_G \supseteq \xi$ mod $\mathcal{R}_\mu$. Finally, $\alpha$ is finite if $\alpha_\infty$ is, and if $H_{\mu, \infty}(\alpha_\infty) < \infty$ then

$$H_\mu(\alpha) \leq H_\mu(\alpha \vee \{X_\infty, X_{<\infty}\}) = H_\mu(\{X_\infty, X_{<\infty}\}) + \mu(X_{<\infty})H_{\nu, \infty}(\alpha_{<\infty}) + \mu(X_{<\infty})H_{\mu, \infty}(\alpha_{<\infty})$$

$$\leq \log(2) + H_{\mu, \infty}(\alpha_{\infty}) + \log(2) < \infty. \quad \square$$

6. Semi-continuity properties

In this section we establish some continuity and upper-semicontinuity results for Rokhlin entropy. Recall that a real-valued function $f$ on a topological space $X$ is called upper-semicontinuous if for every $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U$ containing $x$ with $f(y) < f(x) + \epsilon$ for all $y \in U$. When $X$ is first countable, this is equivalent to saying that $f(x) \geq \limsup f(x_n)$ whenever $(x_n)$ is a sequence converging to $x$.

For a probability space $(X, \mu)$, we will work with the space $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$ of countable Borel partitions having finite Shannon entropy. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a sub-$\sigma$-algebra, we write $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}, \mu)$ for the set of $\mathcal{F}$-measurable partitions in $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$. The set $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$ becomes a complete separable metric space when equipped with the Rokhlin metric $d^\text{Rok}_\mu$ defined by $d^\text{Rok}_\mu(\alpha, \beta) = H_\mu(\alpha \mid \beta) + H_\mu(\beta \mid \alpha)$ [7, Fact 1.7.15]. We record some useful inequalities for the Rokhlin metric. Below, if $G$ acts on $(X, \mu)$, $\alpha$ is a partition of $X$, and $T \subseteq G$ is finite, then we let $\alpha^T$ denote the join $\bigvee_{t \in T} t \cdot \alpha$.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra, and let $\alpha, \beta, \xi \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$. Then:

(i) $d^\text{Rok}_\mu(\beta^T, \xi^T) \leq |T| \cdot d^\text{Rok}_\mu(\beta, \xi)$ for every finite $T \subseteq G$;

(ii) $|H(\beta \mid \mathcal{F}) - H(\xi \mid \mathcal{F})| \leq d^\text{Rok}_\mu(\beta, \xi)$;

(iii) $|H(\alpha \mid \beta \vee \mathcal{F}) - H(\alpha \mid \xi \vee \mathcal{F})| \leq 2 \cdot d^\text{Rok}_\mu(\beta, \xi)$.

**Proof.** This is a simple exercise. Alternatively, see the appendix to [28]. \(\square\)

We begin with a few simple cases in which Rokhlin entropy is actually continuous, not just semicontinuous. Below for a sub-$\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ of $(X, \mu)$ and partitions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F}), H(\beta \mid \mathcal{F}) < \infty$, we define

$$d^\text{Rok}_\mu(\alpha, \beta \mid \mathcal{F}) = H(\alpha \mid \beta \vee \mathcal{F}) + H(\beta \mid \alpha \vee \mathcal{F}).$$
Lemma 6.2. Let \( G \rhd (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action, and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra. Let \( \gamma, \zeta, \rho, \) and \( \mathcal{Q} \) be partitions such that \( H(\gamma | \mathcal{F}), H(\zeta | \mathcal{F}), H(\rho | \mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{Q} | \mathcal{F}) < \infty \).

(i) \( |h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(\xi | \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\gamma) \vee \mathcal{F}) - h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(\eta | \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\zeta) \vee \mathcal{F})| \leq d^{\text{Rok}}_{\mu}(\gamma, \zeta | \mathcal{F}) \) for every collection \( \xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X) \).

(ii) \( |h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(X, \mu | \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\gamma) \vee \mathcal{F}) - h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(X, \mu | \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\zeta) \vee \mathcal{F})| \leq d^{\text{Rok}}_{\mu}(\gamma, \zeta | \mathcal{F}). \)

Proof. (i). By sub-additivity (Corollary 4.6)

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{T \subseteq G \text{ finite}} \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \left\{ H(\beta | \chi^T \vee \gamma) : \beta, \chi \leq \alpha, \ H(\chi) + H(\rho | \beta^T \vee \chi^T \vee \gamma) < \epsilon \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

In fact, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) the triple infimum above is bounded between \( h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(\rho | \mathcal{F}) \) and \( h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(\rho | \mathcal{F}) \).
This establishes the first inequality.

Now we consider the second inequality. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Note that $h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(P | \mathcal{F}) \leq H(P) < \infty$. Fix $\delta > 0$. By Corollary 5.4.3, we may fix a countable partition $\xi$ with $H(\xi) < \infty$, \begin{equation}
\mathcal{P} \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\xi) \vee \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{I}_G \quad \text{and} \quad H(\xi | \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{I}_G) < h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(P | \mathcal{F}) + \delta/3. \tag{6.1}
\end{equation}

By considering the subset of $X$ consisting of points having an infinite $G$-orbit and by applying Corollary 3.10, we obtain a partition $\omega$ with $H(\omega) < \epsilon/4$ and $\mathcal{I}_G \subseteq \mathcal{I}^\infty_G \vee \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\omega)$. Note that our assumptions then imply $\{\omega^T \vee \gamma : T \subseteq G$ finite, $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is c-dense in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{I}_G, \mu)$. By \cite{6.1} we can find finite $T \subseteq G$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ with
\[ H(P | \xi^T \vee \omega^T \vee \gamma) < \epsilon/6 \quad \text{and} \quad H(\xi | \omega^T \vee \gamma) < h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(P | \mathcal{F}) + \delta/3. \]

Next, since $\mathcal{A}$ is c-dense in $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$, we can find $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and partitions $\beta, \chi \leq \alpha$ with
\[ d_{\mu}^{Rok}(\beta, \xi) < \min\left(\frac{\epsilon}{12|T|}, \frac{\delta}{3}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mu}^{Rok}(\chi, \omega) < \min\left(\frac{\epsilon}{12|T|}, \frac{\delta}{6|T|}\right). \]

Then
\[ H(\chi) + H(P \mid \beta^T \vee \chi^T \vee \gamma) \leq H(\omega) + d_{\mu}^{Rok}(\chi, \omega) + H(P \mid \xi^T \vee \omega^T \vee \gamma) + 2|T| \cdot d_{\mu}^{Rok}(\beta, \xi) + 2|T| \cdot d_{\mu}^{Rok}(\chi, \omega) < \epsilon. \]

Furthermore,
\[ H(\beta \mid \chi^T \vee \gamma) \leq H(\xi \mid \omega^T \vee \gamma) + d_{\mu}^{Rok}(\beta, \xi) + 2|T|d_{\mu}^{Rok}(\chi, \omega) < h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(P \mid \mathcal{F}) + \delta. \]

Therefore
\[ \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{T \subseteq G} \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} \inf_{T \text{ finite}} \{H(\beta \mid \chi^T \vee \gamma) : \beta, \chi \leq \alpha, \ H(\chi) + H(P \mid \beta^T \vee \chi^T \vee \gamma) < \epsilon\} \]

is less than $h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(P \mid \mathcal{F}) + \delta$. Now let $\delta$ tend to 0. \hfill \Box

For the remainder of this section we will study upper-semicontinuity of Rokhlin entropy in three settings: as a function of the invariant measure, as a function of the partition, and as a function of the action. We will obtain our strongest upper-semicontinuity results when $G$ is finitely generated. Unfortunately, when $G$ is not finitely generated Rokhlin entropy is not upper-semicontinuous in general, as the following example illustrates.

Example 6.4. Consider a non-finitely generated abelian group $G$. Let $(\Gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of finitely generated subgroups which union to $G$. Write 2 for the set $\{0, 1\}$ and let $u_2$ be the uniform probability measure on 2. We will consider $h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(2^{2G}, \mu)$ as $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(2^{2G})$ varies. For a subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$ we naturally identify $2^{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma}$ with the set of $x \in 2^G$ which are constant on each $\Gamma$-coset. Through this identification, we view the product measure $u_2^{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma} \in \mathcal{M}(2^{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma})$ as a measure on $2^{2G}$. It is not difficult to see that $u_2^{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma}$ converges as $k \to \infty$ to $u_2^{G/G}$ (which is supported on the two constant functions). Clearly $\mathcal{H}_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(2^G, u_2^{G/G}) = 0$. However, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can view the action $G \curvearrowright (2^G, u_2^{G/G})$ as a free action of $G/\Gamma_k$, and since this action is isomorphic to the Bernoulli action $G/\Gamma_k \curvearrowright (2^{G/\Gamma_k}, u_2^{G/\Gamma_k})$ of
the infinite abelian group \( G/\Gamma_k \), we obtain \( h^{Rok}_{G_0}(2^G, u_{2^G/\Gamma_k}) = \log(2) \). Thus Rokhlin entropy is not an upper-semicontinuous function on \( \mathcal{M}_G(2^G) \).

With a bit more effort, one can use the above construction to obtain the same conclusion whenever \( G \) is non-finitely generated and amenable. We believe this failure of upper-semicontinuity occurs precisely when \( G \) is not finitely generated, but we cannot yet prove this since computable lower bounds to Rokhlin entropy for non-sofic actions do not currently exist.

Our stronger upper-semicontinuity results for finitely generated groups will depend upon the following lemma. Throughout this section and the next, for any set \( L \) we let \( G \) act on \( L^G \) by left-shifts: for \( x \in L^G \) and \( g, h \in G \) we have \((g \cdot x)(h) = x(g^{-1}h)\).

**Lemma 6.5.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated infinite group, let \( L \) be finite, and let \( P \subseteq L^G \) be a finite \( G \)-invariant set. For every \( \epsilon > 0 \) and open set \( U \supseteq P \), there is a clopen set \( V \) such that \( U \supseteq V \supseteq P \) and \( h^{Rok}_{G,\mu}(V) < \epsilon \) for all \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(L^G) \).

**Proof.** Fix \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) with \((r^{-1}, 1 - r^{-1}) < \epsilon \). Fix a finite generating set \( S \) for \( G \), and for each \( n \) let \( B_n \subseteq G \) be the corresponding ball of radius \( n \). Since the set \( \{ x \in L^G : |G \cdot x| < r \} \) is finite and \( P \) is \( G \)-invariant, we can find a clopen set \( W \supseteq P \) such that \( B_{r^{-1}} \cdot W \subseteq U \) and \( W \cap \{ x \in L^G : |G \cdot x| < r \} \subseteq P \).

If some \( x \in L^G \) satisfies \(|B_{n+1} \cdot x| = |B_n \cdot x|\), then \(|G \cdot x| = |B_n \cdot x|\). So we must have \(|B_r \cdot x| \geq r \) for all \( x \in W \setminus P \). In other words, given \( x \in W \setminus P \) there are \( s_x(0), s_x(1), \ldots, s_x(r-1) \in B_r \) with \( s_x(k) \cdot x \neq s_x(m) \cdot x \) for all \( k \neq m \). It follows that there is a countable cover \( \{ U_i : i \geq 1 \} \) of \( W \setminus P \), with each \( U_i \) a clopen subset of \( W \setminus P \), and a collection of functions \( s_i : \{0, \ldots, r-1\} \to B_r \) such that \( s_i(k) \cdot U_i \cap s_i(m) \cdot U_i = \emptyset \) for all \( i \) and all \( 0 \leq k \neq m < r \).

Now inductively define clopen sets \( Y_i \) by setting \( Y_0 = \emptyset \) and for \( i \geq 1 \)

\[
Y_i = Y_{i-1} \cup (U_i \setminus B_{r^{-1}} \cdot Y_{i-1}).
\]

Set \( Y_\infty = \bigcup_i Y_i \). Note that each \( Y_i \) is clopen and hence \( Y_\infty \) is open. Also note that \( Y_\infty \subseteq W \) since each \( U_i \subseteq W \).

We claim that \( Y_\infty \cup P \) is closed. Fix a point \( x \in L^G \setminus (Y_\infty \cup P) \). We will find an open neighborhood of \( x \) which is disjoint from \( Y_\infty \cup P \). If \( x \notin W \) then \( L^G \setminus W \) is the desired open neighborhood. Now suppose \( x \in W \). Then \( x \in W \setminus P \) so there is an \( i \geq 1 \) with \( x \in U_i \). We must have \( x \notin Y_i \subseteq Y_\infty \), and thus the construction implies that \( x \in B_{r^{-1}} \cdot Y_{i-1} \setminus Y_{i-1} \). This is an open set which is disjoint from \( Y_\infty \cup P \) (recall \( P \) is \( G \)-invariant and each \( U_i \) is disjoint from \( P \)). This proves the claim.

We set \( V = (B_{r^{-1}} \cdot Y_\infty) \cup P \) and claim that it has the desired properties. Its immediate that \( P \subseteq V \) and \( V \subseteq B_{r^{-1}} \cdot W \subseteq U \). Also, since \( P \) is \( G \)-invariant, \( V = B_{r^{-1}} \cdot (Y_\infty \cup P) \) is closed by the previous paragraph. We claim that \( V \) is open (hence clopen). As a first step, we argue that \( W \subseteq V \). Fix \( w \in W \). If \( w \in P \) then we are done. Otherwise there is \( i \geq 1 \) with \( w \in U_i \). From the construction, we see that either \( w \in B_{r^{-1}} \cdot Y_{i-1} \subseteq V \) or else \( w \in Y_i \subseteq V \). Thus \( W \subseteq V \). As \( P \subseteq W \subseteq V \), we can write \( V = (B_{r^{-1}} \cdot Y_\infty) \cup W \), which shows that \( V \) is open.

Finally, since \( V = B_{r^{-1}} \cdot Y_\infty \cup P \) and \( P \in \mathcal{F}_G \) is \( G \)-invariant, we have

\[
\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(L^G) \quad h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(V) = h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(B_{r^{-1}} \cdot Y_\infty) = h^{\text{Rok}}_{G,\mu}(Y_\infty) \leq H_\mu(Y_\infty).
\]
By our choice of $r$ it suffices to show that $\mu(Y_\infty) < r^{-1}$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(L^G)$. For $0 \leq k < r$ define $\theta(k) : Y_\infty \to L^G$ as follows: for $y \in Y_\infty$ choose $i$ least with $y \in Y_i$ and set $\theta(k)(y) = s_i(k) \cdot y$. For fixed $i$, the sets $\theta(k)(Y_i) \subseteq s_i(k) \cdot U_i$, $0 \leq k < r$, are pairwise disjoint. Also, $\bigcup_{k=0}^{r-1} \theta(k)(Y_i) \subseteq B_r \cdot Y_i$ and $B_r \cdot Y_i \cap B_r \cdot Y_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Thus the maps $\theta(k) : Y_\infty \to L^G$ are injective, measure-preserving, and have pairwise-disjoint images. Hence $\mu(Y_\infty) \leq 1/r$ as required. \hfill \Box

Now we establish upper-semicontinuity on certain spaces of $G$-invariant measures. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_G^{\text{aper}}(X)$ denotes the set of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(X)$ such that $G \ract X, (X, \mu)$ is aperiodic.

**Corollary 6.6.** Let $G$ be a countable group, let $L$ be a totally disconnected Polish space, let $L^G$ have the product topology, and equip $\mathcal{M}_G(L^G)$ with the weak$^*$-topology. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra which is generated by a collection of clopen sets.

(i) If $\xi$ is a finite clopen partition then the map $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G^{\text{aper}}(L^G) \cup \mathcal{E}_G(L^G) \mapsto h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi, \mathcal{F})$ is upper-semicontinuous. If $G$ is finitely generated then this map is upper-semicontinuous on all of $\mathcal{M}_G(L^G)$.

(ii) If $L$ is finite then the map $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G^{\text{aper}}(L^G) \cup \mathcal{E}_G(L^G) \mapsto h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\{\mathcal{F}\})$ is upper-semicontinuous. If $G$ is finitely generated then this map is upper-semicontinuous on all of $\mathcal{M}_G(L^G)$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{L} = \{R_\ell : \ell \in L\}$ be the canonical generating partition for $L^G$, where $R_\ell = \{x \in L^G : x(1_G) = \ell\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{L}$ is generating and thus $h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(L^G, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{F})$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(L^G)$. Since in case (ii) $\mathcal{L}$ is finite and clopen, (ii) is a consequence of (i). So we prove (i). Fix a finite clopen partition $\xi$, fix a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_G(L^G)$, and fix $\epsilon > 0$. If $G$ is not finitely generated, we require $\mu$ to be in $\mathcal{M}_G^{\text{aper}}(L^G) \cup \mathcal{E}_G(L^G)$.

Since $L$ is totally disconnected and Polish, $L$ embeds into an inverse limit, $L \subset \lim L_n$, of finite spaces $L_n$. Let $\pi_n : L \to L_n$ be the corresponding quotient map. By applying $\pi_n$ coordinate-wise, we also view $\pi_n$ as a $G$-equivariant map from $L^G$ to $L_n^G$. Notice that $\pi_n : L^G \to L_n^G$ is continuous. Let $\alpha_n = \{R_\ell : \ell \in L_n\}$ be the clopen partition of $L_n^G$ where $R_\ell = \{x \in L^G : \pi_n(x(1_G)) = \ell\}$, and set $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_n^T : n \in \mathbb{N}, T \subseteq G \text{ finite}\}$. Notice that $\mathcal{A}$ is $c$-dense in $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$. By our assumption on $\mathcal{F}$ we can choose a collection $C_0$ of finite clopen partitions which are $c$-dense in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}, \mu)$.

We next construct, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, partitions $\omega_k$ and $\chi_k$. We break into two cases. If $G$ is not finitely generated, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\omega_k = \chi_k$ be the trivial partition. Now consider the case where $G$ is finitely generated. Let $X_\infty$ denote the set of points in $L^G$ having an infinite $G$-orbit. Similarly define $X^\infty_n \subseteq L^G_n$. Fix a sequence $\omega_k$ of finite partitions of $L^G$ into $G$-invariant sets with $X^\infty_\infty \in \omega_k$ and with the property that $\{\omega_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is $c$-dense in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_G^{\text{fin}}, \mu)$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that for every Borel set $A \subseteq L^G$ we have $\mu(A \cap \pi_n^{-1} \circ \pi_n(A)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus we can pick $n$ sufficiently large and find a finite partition $\zeta$ of $L^G_n$ into $G$-invariant sets such that $X^\infty_n \in \zeta$ and $d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\omega_k, \pi_n^{-1}(\zeta)) < \epsilon/4$. Let $\mu_\zeta = (\pi_n)_*(\mu)$. For each set $P \in \zeta \setminus \{X^\infty_n\}$ and $\delta > 0$ there is an open set $U \supseteq P$ with $\mu_\zeta(U \Delta P) < \delta$. So by considering each set in $\zeta \setminus \{X^\infty_n\}$ and applying the previous lemma to each, we can obtain a clopen partition $\chi'$ with $d_{\mu_\zeta}^{\text{Rok}}(\zeta, \chi') < \epsilon/4$ and with $h_{G,\mu_\zeta}^{\text{Rok}}(\chi') < \epsilon$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_G(L^G_n)$. Set $\chi_k = \pi_n^{-1}(\chi')$. Notice that $d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\chi_k, \chi_k) < \epsilon/2$. 


We now continue handling both cases of the finite/non-finite generation of \( G \) simultaneously. Notice that \( \chi_k \) is clopen, \( h^{\text{Rok}}_{\mu}(\omega_k, \chi_k) < \epsilon/2 \), and \( h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\chi_k) < \epsilon \) for all \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}_G(L^G) \). Also recall that \( C_1 = \{ \omega_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is c-dense in \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}^\text{fin}_G, \mu) \) (both collections consist of \( \mu \)-trivial partitions when \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{oper}}_G(L^G) \cup \mathcal{C}_G(L^G) \)). So we have that \( C = \{ \omega_k \vee \gamma : k \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in C_0 \} \) is c-dense in \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}^\text{fin}_G \cup \mathcal{F}, \mu) \).

By Lemma 6.3 there are \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \omega_k \vee \gamma \in C \), finite \( T \subseteq G \), and \( \beta, \chi \leq \alpha \) with 

\[
H_\mu(\beta | \chi^T \vee \omega_k \vee \gamma) < h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad H_\mu(\chi) + H_\mu(\beta | \beta^T \vee \chi^T \vee \omega_k \vee \gamma) < \epsilon.
\]

Since \( d^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\omega_k, \chi_k) < \epsilon/2 \), we have 

\[
H_\mu(\beta | \chi^T \vee \chi_k \vee \gamma) < h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) + 2\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad H_\mu(\chi) + H_\mu(\beta | \beta^T \vee \chi^T \vee \chi_k \vee \gamma) < 2\epsilon
\]

for all \( \nu \in U \). Now recall that \( h^{\text{Rok}}_{\mu}(\chi_k) < \epsilon \) for all \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}_G(L^G) \). Using subadditivity, we deduce that for \( \nu \in U \) the entropy \( h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) \) is bounded by 

\[
h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\chi \vee \chi_k) + h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\beta | \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\chi \vee \chi_k) \vee \mathcal{F}) + h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\xi | \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\beta \vee \chi \vee \chi_k) \vee \mathcal{F})
\]

\[
\leq h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\chi \vee \chi_k) + H_\mu(\chi) + H_\mu(\beta \vee \chi \vee \chi_k \vee \gamma) + H_\mu(\beta^T \vee \chi^T \vee \chi_k \vee \gamma)
\]

\[
< h^{\text{Rok}}_{G, \nu}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) + 5\epsilon.
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Next we consider the space \( \mathcal{P}(\mu) \) of countable Borel partitions of \((X, \mu)\) having finite Shannon entropy.

**Corollary 6.7.** Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma\)-algebra. For \( \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\mu) \), let \( G \curvearrowright (Y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha) \) be the factor of \((X, \mu)\) associated to \( \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F} \), and let \( \mathcal{F}_\alpha \) be the image of \( \mathcal{F} \) in \( Y_\alpha \). Let \( \mathcal{P}^{\text{aper}}(\mu) \) and \( \mathcal{P}^{\text{erg}}(\mu) \) be the set of \( \alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\mu) \) for which the action \( G \curvearrowright (Y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha) \) is aperiodic or ergodic, respectively. Then the map 

\[
\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{aper}}(\mu) \cup \mathcal{P}^{\text{erg}}(\mu) \mapsto h^{\text{Rok}}_G(Y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha | \mathcal{F}_\alpha)
\]

is upper-semicontinuous in the metric \( d^{\text{Rok}}_\mu \). If \( G \) is finitely generated then this map is upper-semicontinuous on all of \( \mathcal{P}(\mu) \).

**Proof.** Let’s assume \( G \) is finitely generated; the proof for the other case will be essentially identical. Fix a countable collection of Borel sets \( (D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) with \( \alpha\text{-alg}(\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \mathcal{F} \). Define \( \theta : X \to (2^\mathbb{N})^G \) by the rule \( \theta(x)(g)(n) = 1 \) if and only if \( g^{-1} \cdot x \in D_n \). Notice that \( \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(2^\mathbb{N})^G) = \mathcal{M}_\mu \) and thus \( G \curvearrowright ((2^\mathbb{N})^G, \theta_*(\mu)) \) is the (unique up to isomorphism) factor associated with \( \mathcal{F} \). We will work with the larger space \( (\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G = (\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G \). We let \( \mathcal{F}' \) denote the sub-\( \sigma\)-algebra of \((\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G \) consisting of sets which are measurable with respect to the second component, \((2^\mathbb{N})^G \). Notice that \( \mathcal{F}' \) is generated by a collection of clopen sets.

For any countable partition \( \alpha \) of \( X \) and any injection \( f : \alpha \to \mathbb{N} \), define \( \phi_{\alpha,f} : X \to \mathbb{N}^G \) by the rule \( \phi_{\alpha,f}(x)(g) = k \) if and only if \( g^{-1} \cdot x \in A \subseteq \alpha \) and \( f(A) = k \). Combining with \( \theta \), we obtain the map \( \phi_{\alpha,f} \times \theta : X \to (\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G \). Set \( \mu_{\alpha,f} = \]
\((\phi_{\alpha,f} \times \theta)_* (\mu)\) and observe that \(G \curvearrowright (\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G\) is isomorphic to \(G \curvearrowright (Y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)\) and that this isomorphism identifies \(F'\) with \(\mathcal{F}_\alpha\). Therefore
\[
h^\text{Rok}_G((\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G, \mu_{\alpha,f} | F') = h^\text{Rok}_G(Y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha | \mathcal{F}_\alpha).
\]

Now fix \(\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)\) and fix \(\epsilon > 0\). Choose an injection \(f : \alpha \to \mathbb{N}\) whose image has infinite complement. Let \(\mathcal{L} = \{R_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\) be the partition of \((\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G\) where \(R_k = \{y : y(1_G) \in \{k\} \times 2^\mathbb{N}\}\). Notice that \(\mathcal{L}\) is a clopen partition and that \(\alpha = (\phi_{\alpha,f} \times \theta)^{-1}(\mathcal{L})\). Next, choose a finite partition \(\beta\) coarser than \(\alpha\) with \(H_\mu(\alpha | \beta) < \epsilon\). Let \(\xi\) be the corresponding coarsening of \(\mathcal{L}\), specifically \(\xi = \{C_B : B \in \beta\} \cup \{C_@\}\) where \(C_B = \cup \{R_k : f^{-1}(k) \subseteq B\}\) and \(C_@ = \cup \{R_k : k \notin \xi(\alpha)\}\). Then \(\xi\) is a finite clopen partition, \(\beta = (\phi_{\alpha,f} \times \theta)^{-1}(\xi)\), and
\[
H_{\mu_{\alpha,f}}(\mathcal{L} | \xi) = H_\mu(\alpha | \beta) < \epsilon.
\]

By Corollary 6.6, there is a weak* open neighborhood \(U\) of \(\mu_{\alpha,f}\) such that for all \(\nu \in U\)
\[
h^\text{Rok}_{G,F}(\xi | F') < h^\text{Rok}_{G,F_{\alpha}}(\xi | F') + \epsilon.
\]
Since \((\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G\) is compact and totally disconnected, there are a finite number of clopen sets \(W_1, \ldots, W_m\) and \(\delta > 0\) such that for all \(\nu \in \mathcal{M}(L^G)\)
\[
\left( \forall 1 \leq i \leq m \ |\nu(W_i) - \mu_{\alpha,f}(W_i)\right) < \delta \Rightarrow \nu \in U.
\]
For any countable partition \(\alpha'\) of \(X\) and injection \(f' : \alpha' \to \mathbb{N}\), the pre-images of the sets \(W_i\) under \(\phi_{\alpha',f' \times \theta}\) will be finite intersections of \(G\)-translates of sets from \(\alpha' \cup \{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\). Thus there is \(\kappa > 0\) such that if \(\alpha'\) and \(f'\) satisfy
\[
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(f^{-1}(k) \triangle f'^{-1}(k)) < \kappa
\]
then \(\mu_{\alpha',f'} \in U\). Finally, by [1] Fact 1.7.7 there is \(\eta > 0\) such that if \(\alpha'\) is a countable partition of \(X\) with \(d^\text{Rok}_G(\alpha, \alpha') < \eta\) then there is an injection \(f' : \alpha' \to \mathbb{N}\) such that \(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(f^{-1}(k) \triangle f'^{-1}(k)) < \kappa\) (here we use the fact that we chose an \(f\) whose image has infinite complement, allowing \(f'\) to possibly use new integers). Furthermore, we may shrink \(\eta\) if necessary so that if \((\alpha', f')\) are as before and \(\beta'\) is defined as \(\beta' = (\phi_{\alpha',f' \times \theta})^{-1}(\xi)\), then \(H_\mu(\alpha' | \beta') < 2\epsilon\). Then, for such an \(\alpha'\) and \(f'\), we have
\[
h^\text{Rok}_G(Y_{\alpha'}, \nu_{\alpha'} | \mathcal{F}_{\alpha'}) = h^\text{Rok}_G((\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G, \mu_{\alpha',f'} | F')
\leq h^\text{Rok}_G(\xi | F') + h^\text{Rok}_G((\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G, \mu_{\alpha',f'} | \sigma-\text{alg}_{G}(\xi) \vee F')
< h^\text{Rok}_G(\xi | F') + \epsilon + H_{\mu_{\alpha',f'}}(\mathcal{L} | \xi)
\leq h^\text{Rok}_G((\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G, \mu_{\alpha,f} | F') + \epsilon + H_\mu(\alpha' | \beta')
< h^\text{Rok}_G((\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N})^G, \mu_{\alpha,f} | F') + 3\epsilon
= h^\text{Rok}_G(Y_\alpha, \nu_\alpha | \mathcal{F}_\alpha) + 3\epsilon.
\]
This completes the proof in the case \(G\) is finitely generated, and the proof for the non-finitely generated case is essentially identical.

For our final upper-semicontinuity result we consider the space of p.m.p. \(G\)-actions. Specifically, let \((X, \mu)\) be a standard probability space with \(\mu\) non-atomic, let \(\text{Aut}(X, \mu)\) denote the group of \(\mu\)-preserving Borel bijections of \(X\) modulo agreement \(\mu\)-almost-everywhere, and let \(A(G, X, \mu)\) be the set of group homomorphisms
$a : G \to \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$. The set $A(G, X, \mu)$ is called the space of p.m.p. $G$-actions. It is a Polish space under the weak topology \cite{15}. This topology is generated by the sub-basic open sets of the form $\{ a \in A(G, X, \mu) : \mu(a(g)(A) \Delta B) < U \}$ for $A, B \subseteq X$ Borel and open $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Below we write $A^{\text{aper}}(G, X, \mu)$ for the set of $a \in A(G, X, \mu)$ for which the action $G \curvearrowright^a (X, \mu)$ is aperiodic. Similarly we let $A^{\text{erg}}(G, X, \mu)$ be the set of $\mu$-ergodic actions.

**Corollary 6.8.** Let $(X, \mu)$ be a standard probability space with $\mu$ non-atomic, let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partition with $H(\mathcal{P}) < \infty$, and let $\Sigma$ be a sub-$\sigma$-algebra. Then the map $a \in A^{\text{aper}}(G, X, \mu) \cup A^{\text{erg}}(G, X, \mu) \to h_{a(G), \mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{P} | \sigma\text{-alg}_{a(G)}(\Sigma))$ is upper-semicontinuous.

If $G$ is finitely generated then this map is upper-semicontinuous on all of $A(G, X, \mu)$.

**Proof.** Since all standard non-atomic probability spaces are isomorphic, we can assume without loss of generality that $X = 2^\mathbb{N}$. Fix a countable collection of Borel sets $\{ D_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ with $\Sigma = \sigma\text{-alg}(\{ D_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \})$. Also fix an enumeration $\mathcal{P} = \{ P_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Set $Y = \mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N} \times 2^\mathbb{N}$ and define $\theta : X \to Y$ by setting $\theta(x) = (k, x, z)$ if $x \in P_k$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $z(n) = 1$ precisely when $x \in D_n$. Clearly $\theta$ is a Borel injection, the pre-image of the Borel $\sigma$-algebra coming from the third component, $2^\mathbb{N}$, coincides with $\Sigma$, and the pre-image of the countable partition given by the first component, $\mathbb{N}$, coincides with $\mathcal{P}$.

Consider the totally disconnected space $Y^G = \mathbb{N}^G \times (2^\mathbb{N})^G \times (2^\mathbb{N})^G$ together with the natural left-shift action of $G$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the $G$-invariant $\sigma$-algebra coming from the third component, $(2^\mathbb{N})^G$, and define the partition $\mathcal{L} = \{ R_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ by $R_k = \{ y \in Y^G : y(1_G) \in \{ k \} \} \times (2^\mathbb{N})^G \times (2^\mathbb{N})^G$. Note that $\mathcal{L}$ is a clopen partition and that $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by a collection of clopen sets.

For an action $a \in A(G, X, \mu)$, define $\phi_a : X \to Y^G$ by the rule $\phi_a(x)(g) = \theta(a(g)^{-1}(x))$. Clearly $\phi_a$ is injective (in fact $\phi_a(x)(1_G) = \theta(x)$), and $\phi_a$ is $G$-equivariant with respect to the $a$-action of $G$ on $X$. Therefore, setting $\mu_a = (\phi_a)_\ast(\mu)$, we have that $G \curvearrowright^a (X, \mu)$ is isomorphic to $G \curvearrowright (Y^G, \mu_a)$. Furthermore, this isomorphism identifies $\mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with $\sigma\text{-alg}_{a(G)}(\Sigma)$.

Now fix $\epsilon > 0$. Choose a finite partition $Q$ coarser than $\mathcal{P}$ with $H(\mathcal{P} \mid Q) < \epsilon$. Let $\xi$ be the corresponding coarsening of $\mathcal{L}$, specifically $\xi = \{ C_Q : Q \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \}$ where $C_Q = \cup \{ R_k : P_k \subseteq Q \}$. Now fix an action $a \in A(G, X, \mu)$. By Corollary 6.6 there is a weak* open neighborhood $U$ of $\mu_a$ such that for all $\nu \in U$

$$h_{G, \nu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) < h_{G, \mu_a}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon.$$ 

It is not difficult to check that the map $b \in A(G, X, \mu) \to \mu_b$ is continuous. Thus there is an open neighborhood $V$ of $a$ with $\mu_b \in U$ for all $b \in V$. Then we have

$$h_{b(G), \mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{P} \mid \sigma\text{-alg}_{b(G)}(\Sigma)) = h_{G, \mu_b}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq h_{G, \mu_b}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) + h_{G, \mu_b}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{L} \mid \sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\xi) \cup \mathcal{F}) < h_{G, \mu_b}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon + H_{\mu_b}(\mathcal{L} \mid \xi) \leq h_{G, \mu_a}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon + H_{\mu}(\mathcal{P} \mid \mathcal{Q}) < h_{G, \mu_a}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{F}) + 2\epsilon = h_{a(G), \mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\mathcal{P} \mid \sigma\text{-alg}_{a(G)}(\Sigma)) + 2\epsilon.$$

This completes the proof when $G$ is finitely generated. The proof for the non-finitely generated case is essentially identical. \qed
7. Inverse limits

In this section we obtain a formula for the Rokhlin entropy of an inverse limit of actions. This formula was developed for ergodic actions in Part II [28] and was a critical ingredient for the proof of the main theorem there. We believe the formula is of independent interest and will be useful for other purposes. Here we will also apply it to establish Borel measurability of Rokhlin entropy on the space of invariant measures and on the space of actions.

Lemma 7.1. Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action. Suppose that \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) is the inverse limit of actions \( G \curvearrowright (X_n, \mu_n) \). Identify each \( \mathcal{B}(X_n) \) as a sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra of \( X \) in the natural way. Let \( \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be an increasing sequence of sub-\( \sigma \)-algebras with \( F_n \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X_n) \) for every \( n \), and set \( F = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \). If \( \mathcal{P} \) is a partition with \( \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X_n) \) for all \( n \) and \( \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H(\mathcal{P} | F_n \lor \mathcal{I}_G) < \infty \) then

\[
\hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{P} | F) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu_n}(\mathcal{P} | F_n).
\]

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that each \( F_n \) is countably generated. Let \( \mu = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} \nu \, d\tau(\nu) \) be the ergodic decomposition of \( \mu \). Then every ergodic measure \( \nu \) pushes forward to an ergodic measure \( \nu_n \) for \( G \curvearrowright X_n \), and we have \( \mu_n = \int \nu_n \, d\tau(\nu) \). Pick \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( H(\mathcal{P} | F_k \lor \mathcal{I}_G) < \infty \). Lemma 3.1 implies that

\[
H(\mathcal{P} | F_k \lor \mathcal{I}_G) = \int_{\mathcal{E}_G(X)} H(\mathcal{P} | F_k) \, d\tau(\nu).
\]

So for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \) the infimum \( \inf_n H(\mathcal{P} | F_n) \leq H(\mathcal{P} | F_k) \) is finite. In [28] Lem. 7.1 this lemma is proven for ergodic actions. So \( \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G, \nu}(\mathcal{P} | F) = \inf_n \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G, \nu_n}(\mathcal{P} | F_n) \) for \( \tau \)-almost-every \( \nu \in \mathcal{E}_G(X) \). The claim now follows from the ergodic decomposition formula (Corollary 4.4) and the monotone convergence theorem for integrals.

□

Corollary 7.2. Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action, and let \( \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be an increasing sequence of sub-\( \sigma \)-algebras. Set \( F = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \).

(i) \( \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{P} | F) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu_n}(\mathcal{P} | F_n) \) if \( \mathcal{P} \) is a partition with \( \inf_n H(\mathcal{P} | F_n \lor \mathcal{I}_G) < \infty \).

(ii) \( \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu | F) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu | F_n) \) if the right-hand side is finite.

Proof. Clause (i) follows from Lemma 7.1 by using \( X_n = X \) for all \( n \). For (ii), assume the right-hand side is finite and pick \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu | F_k) < \infty \). Then there is a partition \( \mathcal{P} \) with \( H(\mathcal{P} | F_k \lor \mathcal{I}_G) < \infty \) and \( \sigma\text{-alg}(\mathcal{P}) \lor F_k \lor \mathcal{I}_G = \mathcal{B}(X) \).

So \( \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu | F) = \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(\mathcal{P} | F) \) and \( \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu | F_n) = \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(\mathcal{P} | F_n) \) for all \( n \geq k \).

By applying (i) we obtain

\[
\hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu | F) = \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(\mathcal{P} | F) = \inf_{n \geq k} \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(\mathcal{P} | F_n) = \inf_{n \geq k} \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu | F_n).
\]

□

Now we present a general formula for the Rokhlin entropy of an inverse limit.

Theorem 7.3. Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra. Suppose that \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) is the inverse limit of actions \( G \curvearrowright (X_n, \mu_n) \). Identify each \( \mathcal{B}(X_n) \) as a sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra of \( X \) in the natural way. Then

\[
\hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(X, \mu | F) < \infty \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{m \geq n} \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{B}(X_n) \lor \mathcal{F}) = 0 \\
\text{and} \forall m \geq n \, \hat{h}^\text{Rok}_{G}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{F}) < \infty.
\end{array} \right\}
\]
Furthermore, when \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) < \infty \) we have
\[
(7.2) \quad h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{F}).
\]

Proof. First suppose that \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) < \infty \). Then
\[
h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{F}) \leq h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) < \infty
\]
for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and by applying Corollary \[7.2\] (ii) we get
\[
0 = h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{B}(X)) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{B}(X_n) \vee \mathcal{F})
\geq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{m \geq n} h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{B}(X_n) \vee \mathcal{F}) \geq 0.
\]
This proves one implication in the first claim.

Now suppose that the right-side of \( (7.1) \) is true. Fix \( \delta > 0 \) and for each \( i \geq 1 \) fix \( n(i) \) with
\[
\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{B}(X_{n(i)}) \vee \mathcal{F}) < \frac{\delta}{2i}.
\]
Then by using \( n = n(i+1) \) we have
\[
h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_{n(i+1)}) | \mathcal{B}(X_{n(i)}) \vee \mathcal{F}) < \frac{\delta}{2n(i+1)}.
\]
Now by sub-additivity (Corollary \[4.6\]) we have
\[
h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) \leq h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_{n(1)}) | \mathcal{F}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_{n(i+1)}) | \mathcal{B}(X_{n(i)}) \vee \mathcal{F})
\leq h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_{n(1)}) | \mathcal{F}) + \delta.
\]
So \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) < \infty \), completing the proof of the first claim. The second claim also follows, since above we only assumed that the right-side of \( (7.1) \) was true (equivalently \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) < \infty \) by the first claim). By letting \( \delta \) tend to 0 above, we get that \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) \leq \sup_m h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{F}) \). The reverse inequality is immediate from the definitions. \(\square\)

It is an interesting open problem to determine if, under the assumptions of the previous theorem, one always has \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{F}) \).

The formula in the previous theorem relies upon computing outer Rokhlin entropies within the largest space \( X \). However, it may be more natural to use a formula which only relies upon computations occurring within the actions which build the inverse limit. With an additional assumption we can obtain such a formula.

**Corollary 7.4.** Let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a p.m.p. action. Suppose that \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) is the inverse limit of actions \( G \curvearrowright (X_n, \mu_n) \). Identify each \( \mathcal{B}(X_n) \) as a sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra of \( X \) in the natural way. Let \( (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be an increasing sequence of sub-\( \sigma \)-algebras with \( \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X_n) \) for every \( n \), and set \( \mathcal{F} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n \). Assume that \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X_n, \mu_n | \mathcal{F}_n) < \infty \) for all \( n \). Then
\[
h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) < \infty \iff \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{m \geq n} \sup_{k \geq m} h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu_k}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{B}(X_n) \vee \mathcal{F}_k) = 0.
\]

Furthermore, when \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) < \infty \) we have
\[
h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{k \geq m} h^\text{Rok}_{G, \mu_k}(\mathcal{B}(X_m) | \mathcal{F}_k).
\]
Proof. For each $m$ pick a partition $\alpha_m \subseteq B(X_m)$ with $H(\alpha_m | \mathcal{G}(X_m) \vee F_m) < \infty$ and $B(X_m) = \sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha_m) \vee \mathcal{G}(X_m) \vee F_m$. Then by Lemma 7.1 we have

$$h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(B(X_m) | F) = h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(\alpha_m | F) = \inf_{k \geq m} h_{G,\mu_k}^{Rok}(B(X_m) | F_k)$$

and similarly by the same reasoning for every $n \leq m$

$$h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(B(X_m) | B(X_n) \vee F) = \inf_{k \geq m} h_{G,\mu_k}^{Rok}(B(X_m) | B(X_n) \vee F_n).$$

So the corollary follows from the two identities above and Theorem 7.3. \hfill \Box

In the next two corollaries we apply the formula in Theorem 7.3 in order to establish the Borel measurability of Rokhlin entropy. We first consider the space of $G$-invariant probability measures.

**Corollary 7.5.** Let $G$ be a countable group, let $X$ be a standard Borel space, let $G \curvearrowright X$ be a Borel action, and let $F$ be a countably generated $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra.

(i) The map $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{G}(X) \mapsto h_{G}^{Rok}(X, \mu | F)$ is Borel.

(ii) If $\mathcal{P}$ is a countable Borel partition then the map $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{G}(X) : H_{\nu}(\mathcal{P}) < \infty \mapsto h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(\mathcal{P} | F)$ is Borel.

**Proof.** We first claim that $\mathcal{I}_{G}^{\text{fin}}$ is countably generated. Let $B$ be a Borel set which meets every finite $G$-orbit precisely once and does not meet any infinite $G$-orbit. If $Z \subseteq B$ is Borel then $G \cdot Z = \{ x \in X : \exists y \in G \cdot x \in Z \}$ is Borel as well. Thus $B(X) \upharpoonright B = \mathcal{I}_{G}^{\text{fin}} \upharpoonright B$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{G}^{\text{fin}} \upharpoonright B$ is isomorphic as a $\sigma$-algebra to $\mathcal{I}_{G}^{\text{fin}}$, and since $B(X)$ is countably generated, it follows that $\mathcal{I}_{G}^{\text{fin}}$ is countably generated as claimed.

By the above claim and our assumption on $F$, $F \vee \mathcal{I}_{G}^{\text{fin}}$ is countably generated. Hence there is a countable collection $\mathcal{C}$ of finite $F \vee \mathcal{I}_{G}^{\text{fin}}$-measurable partitions which is c-dense in $H(F \vee \mathcal{I}_{G}^{\text{fin}}, \mu)$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{G}(X)$. We can also fix a countable collection $\mathcal{A}$ of finite Borel partitions which is c-dense in $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{G}(X)$.

(ii). For Borel sets $D$ the map $\mu \mapsto \mu(D)$ is Borel, and similarly $\mu \mapsto H_{\mu}(\xi | \zeta)$ is Borel for any countable Borel partitions $\xi$ and $\zeta$. So Lemma 6.3 immediately implies that the map $\mu \in \{ \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{G}(X) : H_{\nu}(\mathcal{P}) < \infty \} \mapsto h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(\mathcal{P} | F)$ is Borel.

(i). Fix an increasing sequence of finite partitions $\alpha_{n}$ with $\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma\text{-alg}(\alpha_{n}) = B(X)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $G \curvearrowright (X_{n}, \mu_{n})$ be the factor of $(X, \mu)$ associated to $\sigma\text{-alg}_{G}(\alpha_{n}) \vee F$. Since each $\alpha_{n}$ is finite, it follows from (ii) that for all $n \leq m$ the functions

$$\mu \mapsto h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(\alpha_{n} | B(X_{n}) \vee F) = h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(B(X_{m}) | B(X_{n}) \vee F)$$

and

$$\mu \mapsto h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(\alpha_{m} | F) = h_{G,\mu}^{Rok}(B(X_{m}) | F)$$

are Borel. Now by applying Theorem 7.3 we conclude that $\mu \mapsto h_{G}^{Rok}(X, \mu | F)$ is Borel. \hfill \Box

Finally, we show that Rokhlin entropy is a Borel function on the space of actions.

**Corollary 7.6.** Let $(X, \mu)$ be a standard probability space with $\mu$ non-atomic, and let $\Sigma$ be a sub-$\sigma$-algebra.

(i) The map $a \in A(G, X, \mu) \mapsto h_{a(\mathcal{G})}^{Rok}(X, \mu | \sigma\text{-alg}_{a(\mathcal{G})}(\Sigma))$ is Borel.

(ii) If $\mathcal{P}$ is a countable partition with $H(\mathcal{P}) < \infty$ then the map $a \in A(G, X, \mu) \mapsto h_{a(\mathcal{G}),\mu}^{Rok}(\mathcal{P} | \sigma\text{-alg}_{a(\mathcal{G})}(\Sigma))$ is Borel.
Proof. Set \( Y = X^G \) and let \( G \) act on \( Y \) by left-shifts: \((g \cdot y)(t) = y(g^{-1}t)\) for \( y \in Y \) and \( g, t \in G \). Let \( \pi : Y \to X \) be the map \( y \mapsto y(1_G) \). Set \( \mathcal{P} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{P}) \). Let \( \Sigma' \) be a countably generated \( \sigma \)-algebra with \( \Sigma' = \Sigma \mod \mathcal{N}_\mu \), and set \( \Sigma = \pi^{-1}(\Sigma') \).

For \( a \in A(G, X, \mu) \) define \( \theta^a : X \to Y = X^G \) by \( \theta^a(x)(g) = a(g^{-1})x \). Then \( \theta^a \) is a \( G \)-equivariant Borel injection. Set \( \mu_a = \theta^a_* \mu \). Since \( \mathcal{B}(Y) \) is generated by sets of the form \( \{ y \in Y : \forall t \in T y(t) \in B_t \} \) for finite \( T \subseteq G \) and Borel sets \( B_t \subseteq X \), and since

\[
\mu_a(\{ y \in Y : \forall t \in T y(t) \in B_t \}) = \mu \left( \bigcap_{t \in T} a(t)(B_t) \right),
\]

we see that the map \( a \in A(G, X, \mu) \to \mu_a \in \mathcal{M}(Y) \) is Borel.

Each map \( \theta^a : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \mu_a) \) is a \( G \)-equivariant isomorphism with \( \mathcal{P} = \theta^a(\mathcal{P}) \mod \mathcal{N}_{\mu_a} \) and \( \bar{\Sigma} = \theta^a(\Sigma) \mod \mathcal{N}_{\mu_a} \). So

\[
h_{\theta^a(G)}(X, \mu \mid \sigma_{\text{alg}}_{\theta^a(G)}(\Sigma)) = h_{\theta^a(G)}(Y, \mu_a \mid \sigma_{\text{alg}}_G(\bar{\Sigma}))
\]

\[
h_{\theta^a(G), \mu_a}(\mathcal{P} \mid \sigma_{\text{alg}}_{\theta^a(G)}(\Sigma)) = h_{\theta^a(G), \mu_a}(\mathcal{P} \mid \sigma_{\text{alg}}_G(\bar{\Sigma})).
\]

Using the fact that \( a \mapsto \mu_a \) is Borel, and noting that \( H_{\mu_a}(\mathcal{P}) = H_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}) < \infty \), applying Corollary 7.5 completes the proof. \( \square \)

8. Comparison with Kolmogorov–Sinai and sofic entropies

In this section we relate Rokhlin entropy to classical Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy and sofic entropy.

As expected, we find that Rokhlin entropy and Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy coincide for free actions of amenable groups. When \( \mu \) is ergodic and \( \mathcal{F} = \{ \varnothing, X \} \), this was proven for \( G = \mathbb{Z} \) by Rokhlin [25] and proven for general amenable groups by Seward and Tucker-Drob in [31]. When \( \mu \) is ergodic but \( \mathcal{F} \) is possibly non-trivial, this was proven by Seward in [27].

**Corollary 8.1.** Let \( G \) be a countably infinite amenable group, let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a free p.m.p. action, and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra. Then the relative Rokhlin and relative Kolmogorov–Sinai entropies coincide:

\[
h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = h^\text{KS}_G(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}).
\]

In particular, \( h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu) = h^\text{KS}_G(X, \mu) \).

**Proof.** This is immediate from equality in the ergodic case [27] and the ergodic decomposition formula (Corollary 4.4). \( \square \)

We also present a refined version of the previous corollary. For this we remind the reader the definition of Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy. Let \( G \) be a countably infinite amenable group, and let \( G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \) be a free p.m.p. action. For a partition \( \alpha \) and a finite set \( T \subseteq G \), we write \( \alpha^T \) for the join \( \bigvee_{t \in T} t \cdot \alpha \), where \( t \cdot \alpha = \{ t \cdot A : A \in \alpha \} \). Given a \( G \)-invariant sub-\( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{F} \), the relative Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy is defined as

\[
h^\text{KS}_G(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{\alpha} \inf_{T \subseteq G} \frac{1}{|T|} \cdot H(\alpha^T \mid \mathcal{F}),
\]

where \( \alpha \) ranges over all finite Borel partitions and \( T \) ranges over finite subsets of \( G \) [6]. Equivalently, one can replace the infimum with a limit over a Følner sequence.

For $\xi \subseteq B(X)$ we also define
$$h^\text{KS}_G(\xi | F) = \sup_{T \subseteq G} \inf_{T \subseteq G} \frac{1}{|T|} \cdot H(\alpha^T | F),$$
where $\alpha$ ranges over all finite partitions which are measurable with respect to the algebra generated by $\xi$, and $T$ ranges over all finite subsets of $G$. The proof of [6] Theorem 2.7(i) can be modified to show that if $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is the factor of $(X, \mu)$ associated to $\sigma$-alg$_G(\xi) \vee F$, then $h^\text{KS}_G(\xi | F) = h^\text{KS}(Y, \nu | F)$, where we view $F$ as a sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $Y$ in the natural way. In particular $h^\text{KS}_G(\xi | F) = h^\text{KS}(\sigma$-alg$_G(\xi) | F)$.\[\text{Corollary 8.2.}\]
Let $G$ be a countably infinite amenable group, let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a free p.m.p. action, let $\xi \subseteq B(X)$, and let $F$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra. Then
$$h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi | F) = h^\text{KS}_G(\xi | F).$$

**Proof.** Since both quantities satisfy an ergodic decomposition formula, it suffices to prove this with the assumption that $\mu$ is ergodic, in which case $F$ is trivial. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By [31] there is a partition $\gamma$ with $H(\gamma) < \epsilon$ and with the property that $G$ acts freely on the factor of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ associated to $\sigma$-alg$_G(\gamma)$. It is not difficult to deduce from the definitions that
\begin{align}
(8.1) & \quad h^\text{KS}_G(\xi | F) \leq h^\text{KS}_G(\gamma \cup \xi | F) \leq H(\gamma) + h^\text{KS}_G(\xi | F) \leq \epsilon + h^\text{KS}_G(\xi | F), \\
(8.2) & \quad h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi | F) \leq h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\gamma \cup \xi | F) \leq H(\gamma) + h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi | F) \leq \epsilon + h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi | F).
\end{align}

Similarly, by sub-additivity of Rokhlin entropy
\begin{align}
(8.3) & \quad h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\gamma \cup \xi | F) \leq h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(Y, \nu | F) = h^\text{KS}_G(Y, \nu | F) = h^\text{KS}_G(\gamma \cup \xi | F).
\end{align}

If $h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\gamma \cup \xi | F) = \infty$ then we are done by (8.1), (8.2), and (8.3). So suppose $h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\gamma \cup \xi | F) < \infty$. Fix a partition $\beta$ with $H(\beta | F) < h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\gamma \cup \xi | F) + \epsilon$ and $\gamma \cup \xi \subseteq \sigma$-alg$_G(\beta) \vee F$. By (8.3) we have
\begin{align}
(8.4) & \quad h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\gamma \cup \xi | F) \leq h^\text{KS}_G(\gamma \cup \xi | F) \leq h^\text{KS}_G(\beta | F) \leq H(\beta | F) < h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\gamma \cup \xi | F) + \epsilon.
\end{align}

Therefore $|h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi | F) - h^\text{KS}_G(\xi | F)| < 3\epsilon$ by (8.1) and (8.2). Now let $\epsilon$ tend to 0. \[\square\]

Next we compare Rokhlin entropy with sofic entropy. We first recall the definition of sofic groups.

**Definition 8.3.** A countable group $G$ is sofic if there exists a sequence of maps $\sigma_n : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(d_n)$ (not necessarily homomorphisms) such that
\begin{enumerate}
\item[(i)] $\frac{1}{d_n} \cdot |\{1 \leq i \leq d_n : \sigma_n(g) \circ \sigma_n(h)(i) = \sigma_n(gh)(i)\}| \rightarrow 1$ for all $g, h \in G$,
\item[(ii)] $\frac{1}{d_n} \cdot |\{1 \leq i \leq d_n : \sigma_n(g)(i) \neq i\}| \rightarrow 1$ for all $1_G \neq g \in G$, and
\item[(iii)] $d_n \rightarrow \infty$.
\end{enumerate}
Such a sequence of maps $\Sigma = (\sigma_n : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(d_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a sofic approximation to $G$.

Sofic entropy was first introduced by Lewis Bowen in [2]. There are now various equivalent definitions for sofic entropy in the literature. The definition we use here is due to Kerr [16]. In all cases, sofic entropy is defined by using the sofic approximation to the group in order to approximate the action. The approximation to the action is in the following sense. Recall that for partitions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ we write $\beta \leq \alpha$ if $\beta$ is coarser than $\alpha$. 
Definition 8.4. Consider a p.m.p. action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. For a map $\sigma : G \to \text{Sym}(d)$, a finite partition $\alpha$ of $X$, a finite $T \subseteq G$, and $\delta > 0$, define $\text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, T, \delta, \sigma)$ to be the set of all homomorphisms $\phi : \sigma(\alpha \cap T) \to \sigma(\{1, \ldots, d\})$ such that

(i) $\sum_{A \in \alpha} |\sigma(t) \circ \phi(A) \Delta \phi(A) \cdot A||/d < \delta$ for all $t \in T$, and

(ii) $\sum_{p \in \alpha T} ||\phi(p)||/d - \mu(P)| < \delta$.

If $\beta \leq \alpha$ is coarser than $\alpha$, then we write $|\text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, T, \delta, \sigma)|_\beta$ for the cardinality of the set of restrictions $\phi | \sigma(\beta T)$ for $\phi \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, T, \delta, \sigma)$.

We can now define sofic entropy. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $\Sigma = (\sigma_n : G \to \text{Sym}(d_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sofic approximation to $G$. If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ is an algebra which is generating (i.e. $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}(X)$), then the $\Sigma$-sofic entropy of $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is defined as

$$h^2_G(X, \mu) = \sup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \inf_{\subseteq \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\subseteq \mathcal{B}} \inf_{\subseteq \mathcal{C}} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_\beta,$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ range over all finite $\mathcal{A}$-measurable partitions with $\alpha$ finer than $\beta$. Kerr proved that this definition does not depend upon the choice of generating algebra $\mathcal{A}$ [10]. Note that $h^2_G(X, \mu) \in \{-\infty\} \cup [0, +\infty]$.

We will also need the notion of extension sofic entropy of a factor action, as defined by Hayes [12]. Let $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ be a factor of $(X, \mu)$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $X$ associated to $Y$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra which is generating for $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is an algebra with $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}$, then the extension $\Sigma$-sofic entropy of $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is defined as

$$h^2_{G,\nu}(Y, \nu) = \sup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \inf_{\subseteq \mathcal{C}} \inf_{\subseteq \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\subseteq \mathcal{B}} \inf_{\subseteq \mathcal{C}} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_\beta,$$

where $\beta$ ranges over all finite $\mathcal{C}$-measurable partitions and $\alpha$ ranges over all finite $\mathcal{A}$-measurable partitions finer than $\beta$. As the reader may notice, there is some resemblance between extension sofic entropy and outer Rokhlin entropy as both measure a factor action from the perspective of the original action.

Before comparing sofic entropy with Rokhlin entropy, we need three technical lemmas.

Lemma 8.5. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $\alpha \geq \beta$ be finite partitions of $X$. Given $\kappa > 0$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ so that for all $d > n$ the following is true. For every map $\sigma : G \to \text{Sym}(d)$ and for every $\psi \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\beta, 1_G, \delta, \sigma)$ the set

$$\{ \phi \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, 1_G, \delta, \sigma) : \psi \mid \beta = \psi \}$$

has cardinality at most $\exp(d \cdot H(\alpha | \beta) + \kappa d)$.

Proof. This is a simple consequence of Definition 8.4(ii) and Stirling’s formula. See [5] Lemma 2.13. \qed

Lemma 8.6. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $\alpha$ be a finite partition of $X$. Given $\kappa > 0$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ so that for all $d > n$ the following is true. For every map $\sigma : G \to \text{Sym}(d)$ and for every $\psi \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, 1_G, \delta, \sigma)$ the set

$$\left\{ \phi \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, 1_G, \delta, \sigma) : \sum_{A \in \alpha} |\phi(A) \Delta \psi(A)||/d < \delta \right\}$$

has cardinality at most $\exp(\kappa d)$.\]
Proof. In the case of a two-piece partition this is Lemma 2.3. The extension to finite partitions is straightforward. □

**Lemma 8.7.** Let $G$ be a sofic group with sofic approximation $\Sigma$, and let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action. If $\xi, \chi$ are finite partitions of $X$ and $1_G \in T \subseteq G$ is finite then
\[
\inf_{\delta > 0} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\xi \vee \chi, T, \delta, \sigma_i)| \leq H(\chi) + H(\chi^T).
\]

Proof. Fix $\kappa > 0$, fix a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, and fix a sufficiently large $i \in \mathbb{N}$; precisely how small and how large will become clear. Imagine building an element $\psi \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\xi \vee \chi, T, \delta, \sigma_i)$ in stages. First you choose $\psi_1 \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\chi, 1_G, \delta, \sigma_i)$, of which there are at most $\exp(d_i H(\chi) + \kappa d_i)$ many choices by Lemma 8.5. Next you extend $\psi_1$ to $\psi_1 \upharpoonright T \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\chi, T, \delta, \sigma_i)$. Item (i) in Definition 8.4 combined with Lemma 8.6 imply that you have at most $\exp(\sqrt{\beta})$ many choices for $\psi_1 \upharpoonright T$. Now you extend $\psi_1 \upharpoonright T \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\chi, T, \delta, \sigma_i) \subseteq \text{Hom}_\mu(\chi^T, 1_G, \delta, \sigma_i)$ to $\psi_{\xi \vee \chi} \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\chi \vee \chi, T, \delta, \sigma_i)$. By Lemma 8.5 there are at most $\exp(d_i H(\chi^T) + \kappa d_i)$ many choices for $\psi_{\xi \vee \chi}$. Finally, you extend $\psi_{\xi \vee \chi}$ to $\psi \in \text{Hom}_\mu(\chi \vee \xi, T, \delta, \sigma_i)$. Once again, item (i) of Definition 8.4 together with Lemma 8.6 imply that you have at most $\exp(|T|\kappa d_i)$ many choices of $\psi$. Thus
\[
|\text{Hom}_\mu(\chi \vee \xi, T, \delta, \sigma_i)| \leq \exp(d_i \cdot H(\chi) + d_i \cdot H(\chi^T) + 2(|T| + 1)\kappa d_i).
\]
This is true for all sufficiently large $i$. Therefore
\[
\inf_{\delta > 0} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\xi \vee \chi, T, \delta', \sigma_i)| \leq H(\chi) + H(\chi^T) + 2(|T| + 1)\kappa.
\]
This completes the proof since $\kappa > 0$ was arbitrary. □

We are now ready to relate sofic entropy with Rokhlin entropy.

**Proposition 8.8.** Let $G$ be a sofic group with sofic approximation $\Sigma$, let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ be a factor of $(X, \mu)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $X$ associated to $Y$. Then
\[
h^\Sigma_G(X, \mu) \leq h^\Sigma_{G, \mu}(Y, \nu) + h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}).
\]
In particular, letting $(Y, \nu)$ be trivial gives
\[
h^\Sigma_G(X, \mu) \leq h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu).
\]

Proof. First assume that the action of $G$ on $(X, \mu)$ is aperiodic. If $h^\Sigma_G(X, \mu) = -\infty$ then $h^\Sigma_G(X, \mu) = -\infty$ as well and we are done. So assume that $h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) \geq 0$. Assume that $h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) < \infty$, as otherwise there is nothing to show. Fix $\kappa > 0$. By Corollary 4.5 there is a partition $\xi$ with $H(\xi | \mathcal{F}) < h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) + \kappa$ and $\sigma$-alg$_G(\xi) \subseteq \sigma$-alg$_G(\chi)$. Since the infimum of $H(\xi | \mathcal{F})$ over finite $T \subseteq G$ is at most $H(\xi | \mathcal{F}) < h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) + \kappa$, we can fix a finite $T \subseteq G$ with $H(\xi | \chi^T) < h^\text{Rok}_G(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) + \kappa$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the smallest algebra containing $\xi \vee \chi$ and $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a generating algebra. So we have
\[
h^\Sigma_{G, \mu}(X, \mu) = \sup_{\beta \leq \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\alpha \leq \mathcal{A}} \inf_{W \text{ finite}} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\beta},
\]
\[
h^\Sigma_{G, \mu}(Y, \nu) = \sup_{\beta \leq \mathcal{F}} \inf_{\alpha \leq \mathcal{A}} \inf_{W \text{ finite}} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\alpha, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\beta}.
\]
Since, in both equations, the value of the final expression increases when we refine $\beta$, decreases when we refine $\alpha$, and decreases when we enlarge $W$, we can rewrite this as

$$h_G^G(X, \mu) = \sup_{\gamma_1} \inf_{\gamma_2} \inf_{\xi_1} \inf_{\xi_2} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\gamma_2 \vee \xi_2 \vee \chi, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\gamma_1 \vee \xi_1 \vee \chi},$$

$$h_{G, X}^\Sigma(Y, \nu) = \sup_{\gamma_1} \inf_{\gamma_2} \inf_{\xi_1} \inf_{\xi_2} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\gamma_2 \vee \xi_2 \vee \chi, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\gamma_1},$$

where in both lines $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$ range over all finite $\mathcal{F}$-measurable partitions, $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2$ range over all finite coarsenings of $\xi$, and $W$ ranges over the finite subsets of $G$ containing $T$. Observe that

$$|\text{Hom}_\mu(\gamma_2 \vee \xi_2 \vee \chi, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\gamma_1 \vee \xi_1 \vee \chi} \leq |\text{Hom}_\mu(\gamma_2 \vee \xi_2 \vee \chi, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\gamma_1} \cdot |\text{Hom}_\mu(\gamma_1 \vee \chi, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\gamma_1 \vee \xi_1 \vee \chi} \leq |\text{Hom}_\mu(\gamma_1 \vee \chi, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\gamma_1} \cdot |\text{Hom}_\mu(\gamma_1 \vee \chi, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\gamma_1 \vee \xi_1 \vee \chi}.$$

Therefore from Lemma 3.7 we obtain

$$h_G^{\Sigma}(X, \mu) \leq H(\chi) + H(\xi | \chi^T) + \sup_{\gamma_1} \inf_{\gamma_2} \inf_{\xi_1} \inf_{\xi_2} \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_i} \cdot \log |\text{Hom}_\mu(\gamma_2 \vee \xi_2 \vee \chi, W, \delta, \sigma_i)|_{\gamma_1} \leq h_{G, X}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) + 2 \cdot \kappa + h_{G, \mu}^\Sigma(Y, \nu).$$

Now let $\kappa$ tend to $0$.

Now consider the case where $G \varsubsetneq (X, \mu)$ is not aperiodic, i.e. it has a non-null collection of finite orbits. Fix a probability space $(L, \lambda)$ with $0 < H(L, \lambda) < \infty$. Consider the action $G \varsubsetneq (X \times L^G, \mu \times \lambda^G)$ and the factor $G \varsubsetneq (Y, \nu)$. It was shown by Bowen in [2] that $h_G^G(X \times L^G, \mu \times \lambda^G) = h_G^G(X, \mu) + H(L, \lambda)$, and his proof also easily implies $h_{G, X}^{\Sigma}(Y, \nu) = h_{G, \mu}^\Sigma(Y, \nu)$. Also, by sub-additivity

$$h_G^{\text{Rok}}(X \times L^G, \mu \times \lambda^G | \mathcal{F}) \leq h_{G, X}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}) + H(L, \lambda).$$

The action of $G$ on $X \times L^G$ is aperiodic, so the above case implies that

$$h_G^G(X, \mu) = h_G^G(X \times L^G, \mu \times \lambda^G) - H(L, \lambda) \leq h_{G, \mu}^\Sigma(Y, \nu) + h_{G, X}^{\text{Rok}}(X \times L^G, \mu \times \lambda^G | \mathcal{F}) - H(L, \lambda) \leq h_{G, \mu}^\Sigma(Y, \nu) + h_{G, \mu}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu | \mathcal{F}).$$

9. Restricted orbit equivalence

Recall that two p.m.p. actions $G \varsubsetneq (X, \mu)$ and $\Gamma \varsubsetneq (Y, \nu)$ are orbit equivalent if there is a measure space isomorphism $\phi : (X, \mu) \to (Y, \nu)$ which sends almost-evvery $G$-orbit to a $\Gamma$-orbit. In other words, up to an isomorphism $G$ and $\Gamma$ both act on $(X, \mu)$ and they have the same orbits $\mu$-almost-everywhere.

It is a theorem of Ornstein and Weiss that any two free actions of countably infinite amenable groups are orbit equivalent [21]. Thus orbit equivalences do not respect entropy. However, in 2000 Rudolph and Weiss made the surprising discovery that Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy is preserved under a certain restricted class of orbit equivalences [26]. In this section we will show that Rokhlin entropy is preserved under this same restricted class of orbit equivalences. We remark that due to the definition of Rokhlin entropy this is a rather simple fact, but working from the definition of Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy, as Rudolph–Weiss did, requires more work.
Recall that for a p.m.p. action $G \acts (X, \mu)$ the induced orbit equivalence relation is

$$E_G^X = \{ (x, y) : \exists g \in G, \ g \cdot x = y \}.$$ 

Also, the full group of $E_G^X$, denoted $[E_G^X]$, is the set of all Borel bijections $\theta : X \to X$ with $\theta(x) \in G \cdot x$ for all $x \in X$.

**Definition 9.1.** Let $G \acts (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action, let $\theta \in [E_G^X]$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra. We say that $\theta$ is $\mathcal{F}$-expressible if there is a $\mathcal{F}$-measurable partition $\{Z^g : g \in G\}$ of $X$ such that $\theta(x) = g \cdot x$ for almost-every $x \in Z^g$ and all $g \in G$.

Notice that the partition $\{Z^g : g \in G\}$ is not unique if $G$ does not act freely. The notion of expressibility can also be stated in terms of cocycles. Specifically, $\theta \in [E_G^X]$ is $\mathcal{F}$-expressible if and only if there is a $\mathcal{F}$-measurable cocycle $c : \mathbb{Z} \times X \to G$ satisfying $c(n, x) \cdot x = \theta^n(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in X$.

We recall two elementary lemmas from Part I [27].

**Lemma 9.2.** [27, Lem. 3.2] Let $G \acts (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra. If $\theta \in [E_G^X]$ is $\mathcal{F}$-expressible and $A \subseteq X$, then $\theta(A)$ is $\mathcal{F}$-measurable. In particular, if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\theta(A) \in \mathcal{F}$.

**Lemma 9.3.** [27, Lem. 3.3] Let $G \acts (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra. If $\theta, \phi \in [E_G^X]$ are $\mathcal{F}$-expressible then so are $\theta^{-1}$ and $\theta \circ \phi$.

The following proposition was stated for ergodic actions in Part I [27]. In the case of free actions of amenable groups it recovers the entropy preservation result of Rudolph–Weiss [20].

Note that if $G$ and $\Gamma$ act on $(X, \mu)$ with the same orbits then $E_G^X = E_\Gamma^X$ and $[E_G^X] = [E_\Gamma^X]$. In this situation, we say that $\theta \in [E_G^X]$ is $(G, \mathcal{F})$-expressible if it is $\mathcal{F}$-expressible with respect to the $G$-action $G \acts (X, \mu)$.

**Proposition 9.4.** Let $G \acts (X, \mu)$ and $\Gamma \acts (X, \mu)$ be aperiodic p.m.p. actions having the same orbits, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$ and $\Gamma$ invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra. If $\Gamma$ is $(G, \mathcal{F})$-expressible and $G$ is $(\Gamma, \mathcal{F})$-expressible, then for every $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$

$$h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) = h_{\Gamma,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, $h_{G}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) = h_{\Gamma}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F})$.

**Proof.** Note that $\mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$. Denote this common $\sigma$-algebra by $\mathcal{I}$. Since $\Gamma$ is $(G, \mathcal{F})$-expressible, for every partition $\alpha$ Lemma 9.2 implies $\sigma\text{-alg}_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{F} \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{F}$. Similarly, since $G$ is $(\Gamma, \mathcal{F})$-expressible we get $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{F} \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}_\Gamma(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{F}$. So for every partition $\alpha$ we have $\sigma\text{-alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{F} = \sigma\text{-alg}_\Gamma(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{I} \vee \mathcal{F}$. The claim now follows immediately from the definition of Rokhlin entropy.

Before ending this section, we briefly mention one additional observation which seems worth recording. The following lemma is a generalization of the following simple fact: if $G \acts (X, \mu)$ is a p.m.p. action, $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $G$, and the restricted action $\Gamma \acts (X, \mu)$ is aperiodic, then $h_{\Gamma}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu) \leq h_{G}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu)$. In the lemma below, we consider not only the case where $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $G$ but generally the case where $\Gamma$ is a $\mathcal{F}$-expressible subgroup of the full group $[E_G^X]$. This is indeed more general, as each $g \in G$, when viewed as an element of $[E_G^X]$, is $(X, \varnothing)$-expressible.
Lemma 9.5. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action, let $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra. If $\Gamma \leq [E^G]$ is a $\mathcal{F}$-expressive subgroup which acts aperiodically then 

$$h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq h^\text{Rok}_{\Gamma,\mu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, if $\sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\chi) \vee \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{A}_G = \mathcal{B}(X)$, then $h^\text{Rok}(X, \mu \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq h^\text{Rok}_{\Gamma,\mu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F})$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since the action of $\Gamma$ is aperiodic, by Corollary 3.10, there is a two-piece partition $\chi$ such that $H(\chi) < \epsilon$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma \subseteq \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\chi)$. Let $\alpha$ be a countable partition satisfying $H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{A}_\Gamma) \leq h^\text{Rok}_{\Gamma,\mu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon$ and $\xi \subseteq \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{A}_\Gamma$. Using Lemma 9.2, we obtain $\mathcal{A}_\Gamma \subseteq \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\chi) \vee \mathcal{F}$ and 

$$\xi \subseteq \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\alpha) \vee \mathcal{A}_\Gamma \vee \mathcal{F} \subseteq \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\alpha \vee \chi) \vee \mathcal{F} \subseteq \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\alpha \vee \chi) \vee \mathcal{F}.$$

By sub-additivity, we obtain 

$$h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq H(\chi) + H(\alpha \mid \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(\chi) \vee \mathcal{F}) \leq \epsilon + H(\alpha \mid \mathcal{A}_\Gamma \vee \mathcal{F}) \leq h^\text{Rok}_{\Gamma,\mu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) + 2\epsilon.$$

Now let $\epsilon$ tend to 0. \hfill $\square$

10. Stabilizers

In this section we look at how stabilizers relate to entropy. Before the main theorem, we need a simple lemma. Below, for an equivalence relation $R$ and $B \subseteq X$ we write $[B]_R = \{ x \in X : \exists b \in B \text{ with } x \mathrel{R} b \}$ for the $R$-saturation of $B$.

Lemma 10.1. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a p.m.p. action, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra, let $\Theta \subseteq [E^G]$ be a countable collection of $\mathcal{F}$-expressive functions, and let $R$ be the equivalence relation generated by $\Theta$ (meaning $R$ is the smallest equivalence relation satisfying $x \mathrel{R} \theta(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\theta \in \Theta$). Then for $B \subseteq X$ we have $[B]_R \in \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(B) \vee \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. By Lemma 9.3, all combinations of elements of $\Theta$ and their inverses are $\mathcal{F}$-expressible. Denote by $\langle \Theta \rangle$ the countable group generated by $\Theta$. The claim follows from Lemma 9.2 since $[B]_R = \bigcup_{\theta \in \langle \Theta \rangle} \theta(B) \in \sigma$-$\text{alg}_G(B) \vee \mathcal{F}$. \hfill $\square$

Theorem 10.2. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ be p.m.p. actions with the action on $X$ aperiodic, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $G$-invariant sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $Y$. Consider a factor map $f : G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \to G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$. Identify $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ as a sub-$\sigma$-algebra of $X$ in the natural way via $f$.

(i) Assume $|\text{Stab}_G(f(x)) : \text{Stab}_G(x)| \geq k$ for $\mu$-almost-every $x \in X$. Then 

$$h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{1}{k} \cdot h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(\xi \mid \mathcal{F})$$

for every collection $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(Y)$. In particular, 

$$h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(Y, \nu) \leq \frac{1}{k} \cdot h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(Y, \nu).$$

(ii) If $|\text{Stab}_G(f(x)) : \text{Stab}_G(x)| = \infty$ for $\mu$-almost-every $x \in X$ then 

$$h^\text{Rok}_{G,\mu}(Y, \nu) = 0.$$

Proof. Our proof uses some ideas of Meyerovitch [20]. We will prove this in the case that $\mu$ is ergodic, as then the general case is obtained by Corollary 14. Let $R$ be the equivalence relation where $x, x' \in X$ are $R$-equivalent if and only if they lie in the same $G$-orbit and have the same image under $f$. Note that $[x]_R = \text{Stab}_G(f(x)) \cdot x$ has cardinality $|\text{Stab}_G(f(x)) : \text{Stab}_G(x)|$. Since $f$ is $G$-equivariant, we have that $g \cdot [x]_R = [g \cdot x]_R$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. Thus, a single $R$-class determines all other $R$-classes in the same $G$-orbit.
Fix $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and fix $c > 0$. By ergodicity and by picking a larger $k$ if necessary, we may assume that $|[x]| = k$ for almost-ever $x \in X$ (the case $k = \infty$ is handled by case (ii)). Pick a non-null set $Z \subseteq X$ and a set $T \subseteq G$ with $1_G \notin T$, $|T| = k$, and with $[z]_R = T \cdot z$ for all $z \in Z$. Set $\zeta = \{Z, X \setminus Z\}$. By replacing $Z$ with a non-null subset if necessary, we can assume that $T \cdot z \cap T \cdot z' = \emptyset$ for all $z \neq z' \in Z$ and that $H(\zeta) < \epsilon/2$. For $t \in T$ define $\theta_t \in [E^n_G]$ by setting $\theta_t(x) = t \cdot x$ for $x \in Z$, $\theta_t(x) = t^{-1} \cdot x$ for $x \in T \cdot Z$, and $\theta_t(x) = x$ in all other cases. Then $R$ is the equivalence relation generated by the $\sigma$-algebra $\theta_j \sigma G(\zeta)$ and that $\mu_M(B) = \mu(B)$ for every $R$-invariant Borel set $B$.

Let $\alpha$ be a partition of $Y$ with $\xi \subseteq \sigma$-algebra $\theta_j \sigma G(\alpha) \lor \mathcal{F}$ and $H(\alpha | \mathcal{F}) \leq h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon/2$. Let $\alpha' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ as a partition of $X$. Each $A \in \alpha$ is $R$-invariant and thus $A = [A \cap M]_R$. Moreover, since every set in $\alpha \lor \mathcal{F}$ is $R$-invariant we have that $\mu$ and $\mu_M$ agree on $\alpha \lor \mathcal{F}$ and thus

$$\mu(M) \cdot H_{\mu_M}(\alpha | \mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{k} \cdot H_{\mu}(\alpha | \mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{1}{k} \cdot h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) + \epsilon/2.$$

Let $\beta$ be the join of $\{X \setminus M\} \lor (\alpha \setminus M)$ with $\zeta$. For each $A \in \alpha \lor \mathcal{F}$ we have $\mu_M(\alpha | \mathcal{F}) = 0$ and thus

$$h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(\xi | \mathcal{F}) \leq H(\zeta) + H(\beta | \sigma$-

algebra $\theta_j \sigma G(\zeta) \lor \mathcal{F}) \leq \epsilon/2 + \mu(M) \cdot H_{\mu_M}(\alpha | \mathcal{F}) \leq \epsilon + \frac{1}{k} \cdot h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(\xi | \mathcal{F})$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this complete the proof of (i).

(ii). Fix an increasing sequence of finite partitions $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $Y$ satisfying $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma$-algebra $\theta_j \sigma G(\alpha_n) = \mathcal{B}(Y)$. If $h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(\alpha_n) = 0$ for each $n$, then by sub-additivity we have $h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(Y, \nu) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(\alpha_n) = 0$. So it suffices to fix a finite partition $\alpha$ of $Y$ and show that $h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(\alpha) = 0$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By assumption $|[x]| = k$ for almost-every $x \in X$. For each $n \geq 1$ pick a finite $T_n \subseteq G$ and a non-null Borel set $Z_n \subseteq X$ such that $|T_n| = n$, $|T_n \cdot z| = n$, and $T_n \cdot z \subseteq [x]_R$ for all $z \in Z_n$. By replacing $Z_n$ with a non-null subset if necessary, we may assume that $T_n \cdot z \cap T_n \cdot z' = \emptyset$ for all $z \neq z' \in Z_n$ and that $H(\zeta_n) < \epsilon/2^n + 1$. As before, for $t \in T_n$ define $\theta_t^n \in [E^n_G]$ by $\theta_t^n(x) = t \cdot x$ for $x \in Z_n$, $\theta_t^n(x) = t^{-1} \cdot x$ for $x \in T \cdot Z_n$, and $\theta_t^n(x) = x$ in all other cases. Each $\theta_t^n$ is $\sigma$-algebra $\theta_j \sigma G(\zeta_n)$-expressible. Set $\Sigma = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma$-algebra $\theta_j \sigma G(\zeta_n)$ and note that by sub-additivity $h^R_{\theta_j \sigma G}(\Sigma) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H(\zeta_n) < \epsilon/2$.

Let $S$ be the equivalence relation generated by the $\Sigma$-expressible maps $\{g^{-1} \theta_t^n g : g \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in T_n\}$. Then $S$ is a sub-relation of $R$ and every $S$-class is infinite. Pick a Borel set $M \subseteq X$ which meets every $S$-class but has small enough measure that $H(\{M, X \setminus M\}) + \mu(M) \cdot \log |\alpha| < \epsilon/2$. Again let $\mu_M$ denote the normalized restriction of $\mu$ to $M$. Set $\beta = \{X \setminus M\} \lor (\alpha \setminus M)$ and observe
\[
H(\beta) = H(M, X \setminus M) + \mu(M) \cdot H_{\mu,M}(\alpha) < \epsilon/2.
\]
Since each \(A \in \alpha\) is \(S\)-invariant and \(M\) meets every \(S\)-class, we have
\[
A = [A \cap M]_S \in \sigma\text{-alg}(A \cap M) \lor \Sigma \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}(\beta) \lor \Sigma
\]
by Lemma 10.1 and thus \(\alpha \subseteq \sigma\text{-alg}(\beta) \lor \Sigma\). It follows from sub-additivity that
\[
h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\alpha) \leq h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\Sigma) + H(\beta) < \epsilon.
\]
Letting \(\epsilon\) tend to 0, we obtain \(h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\alpha) = 0\). \(\square\)

The previous theorem leads to an alternate proof of Meyerovitch’s theorem which states that ergodic actions of positive sofic entropy must have finite stabilizers.

**Corollary 10.3.** Let \(G\) be a sofic group with sofic approximation \(\Sigma\) and let \(G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)\) be a p.m.p. action.

(i) \(h_{G}^\Sigma(Y, \nu) \leq \frac{1}{k} \cdot h_{G}^{\text{Rok}}(Y, \nu)\) if all stabilizers have cardinality at least \(k\).

(ii) \(h_{G}^\Sigma(Y, \nu) = 0\) if all stabilizers are infinite.

**Proof.** (i). Consider the Bernoulli shift \((2^G, u_G^2)\) and set \((X, \mu) = (2^G \times Y, u_G^2 \times \nu)\). Then \(G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)\) is essentially free and this action factors onto \(G \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)\). Therefore by the previous theorem \(h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(Y, \nu) \leq \frac{1}{k} \cdot h_{G}^{\text{Rok}}(Y, \nu)\). So we have
\[
(10.1) \quad h_{G}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu) \leq \log(2) + h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(Y, \nu) \leq \log(2) + \frac{1}{k} \cdot h_{G}^{\text{Rok}}(Y, \nu).
\]
On the other hand, Bowen proved that sofic entropy is additive under direct products with Bernoulli shifts [2]. So by Proposition 8.8
\[
(10.2) \quad \log(2) + h_{G}^\Sigma(Y, \nu) = h_{G}^\Sigma(X, \mu) \leq h_{G}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu).
\]
Combining \((10.1)\) and \((10.2)\) completes the proof of (i).

For (ii) the argument is mostly the same, except that in place of \((10.1)\) we have the inequality \(h_{G}^{\text{Rok}}(X, \mu) \leq \log(2) + h_{G,\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(Y, \nu) = \log(2)\). \(\square\)
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