Homework is due by 7am of Sep 29. Send by email to both “regev” and “tess” under the cs.nyu.edu domain with subject line “CSCI-GA 3210 Homework 3” and name the attachment “YOUR NAME HERE HW3.tex/pdf”. Please also bring a printed copy to class. Start early!

**Instructions.** Solutions must be typeset in **LaTeX** (a template for this homework is available on the course web page). Your work will be graded on **correctness**, **clarity**, and **conciseness**. You should only submit work that you believe to be correct; if you cannot solve a problem completely, you will get significantly more partial credit if you clearly identify the gap(s) in your solution. It is good practice to start any long solution with an informal (but accurate) “proof summary” that describes the main idea.

You may collaborate with others on this problem set and consult external sources. However, you must **write your own solutions** and **list your collaborators/sources** for each problem.

1. (2 points) **(Expanding a PRG.)** Suggest a construction that we can use to show that the existence of a PRG with output length \( \ell(n) = n + 1 \) implies the existence of a PRG with any \( \text{poly}(n) \) output length. If you feel adventurous, try to suggest a way to prove its correctness.

2. (2 points) **(Constructing a PRG.)** Try to suggest ways to build a PRG from a OWF. For instance, say we take a one-way function \( f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n \). Explain why \( g : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{2n} \) defined by \( g(x) = (f(x), x) \) is not a PRG. How about \( g(x) = (f(x), x_1) \), where \( x_1 \) is the first bit of \( x \)? Explain how taking \( f \) to be a one-way permutation helps a bit, but still does not give us a PRG. Suggest a way one can try to fix the problem.

3. **(The group \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast \))** Let \( p \) be an odd prime.
   - (a) (1 point) Find an efficient algorithm that given \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast \) and an integer \( b \geq 0 \) computes \( a^b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast \). Can we simply compute \( a^b \) as integers and then reduce the result modulo \( p \)? (if not, say exactly why)
   - (b) (2 points) Find an efficient algorithm to check if a given \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast \) is a quadratic residue.
   - (c) (2 points) What fraction of the elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast \) are generators? How does it behave asymptotically? (You can use Wikipedia for the latter; there is no need for very precise asymptotics, just the order of magnitude)
   - (d) (2 points) Describe an efficient algorithm to check if a given \( g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast \) is a generator. Assume that the algorithm is also given a factorization of \( p - 1 \). (It is not known how to perform this task efficiently without this factorization.)
   - (e) (2 points) There is a known efficient algorithm that given a number \( n \) (in unary) outputs a uniform \( n \)-bit prime \( p \), together with a generator \( g \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\ast \). How can that be in light of what we said earlier about the necessity of the factorization of \( p - 1 \)? Explain the apparent paradox and suggest a solution.

4. (2 points) Prove that there is no “statistical PRG”, i.e., a (deterministic) function \( g : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)} \) for some \( \ell(n) > n \) such that \( g(U_n) \) is within negligible total variation distance (also known as statistical distance) of \( U_{\ell(n)} \).

5. **[A question from Peikert’s class]** In this question we prove that there exists a collection \( \{f_s\} \) of one-way functions if and only if there exists a one-way function \( f \).

\* Another “food-for-thought” question; you are not required to solve it fully, but you are required to demonstrate that you thought about it seriously.

\^ A question from Peikert’s class
(a) (2 points) Prove the “if” part.

(b) (3 points) Prove the “only if” part. We recommend you make the simplifying assumption that the set of keys $S$ is $\{0, 1\}^n$ with the uniform distribution and also that the domain of all the functions in the collection is $\{0, 1\}^n$, again with the uniform distribution. So the collection of OWFs is $\{f_s : \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}^n\}_{s \in \{0, 1\}^n}$ and we are given just one deterministic algorithm $F$ that takes a key $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and an input $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and outputs $f_s(x)$ (there is no need anymore for $Gen$ and $D$). Once you are done with this, you can try to extend it to the general setting (but start your solution with the simpler case).