# Beyond NP: The Work and Legacy of Larry Stockmeyer

Lance Fortnow University of Chicago

# Larry Joseph Stockmeyer



1948 – Born in Indiana

- 1974 MIT Ph.D.
- IBM Research at Yorktown and Almaden for most of his career
- 82 Papers (11 JACM)
  - 49 Distinct Co-Authors
- 1996 ACM Fellow
- Died July 31, 2004

# The Universe



# **Computer of Protons**



### The Universe



# **Computer of Protons**

Radius 10<sup>-15</sup> Meters

- Universe can only have 10<sup>123</sup> proton gates.
  Consider the true sentences of weak monadic second-order theory of the natural numbers with successor (EWS1S).
   ∃A ∀B ∃x (x ∈ A → x+1 ∈ B)
- Cannot solve EWS1S on inputs of size 616 in universe with proton-sized gates.
  - Stockmeyer Ph.D. Thesis 1974
  - Stockmeyer-Meyer JACM 2002

### The Universe



# The Universe



Universe can have 10<sup>123</sup> proton gates.

Universe can have 3.5\*10<sup>125</sup> proton gates.

Universe can have 3.5\*10<sup>125</sup> proton gates.
 Cannot solve EWS1S on inputs of size 616 in universe with proton-sized gates.

Universe can have 3.5\*10<sup>125</sup> proton gates.
 Cannot solve EWS1S on inputs of size 619 in universe with proton-sized gates.

# **Science Fiction?**



The complexity of algorithms tax even the resources of sixty billion gigabits---or of a universe full of bits; Meyer and Stockmeyer had proved, long ago, that, regardless of computer power, problems existed which could not be solved in the life of the universe.

#### **Evolution of Complexity** Turing-Church-Kleene-Post 1936

Computably Enumerable

Computably Enumerable

#### Evolution of Complexity Kleene 1956

Computably Enumerable

Regular Languages Finite Automata

# Evolution of Complexity Chomsky Hierarchy 1956

Computably Enumerable

Regular Languages Finite Automata

#### Evolution of Complexity Chomsky Hierarchy 1956

Computably Enumerable Unrestricted Grammars

Context-Sensitive Grammars Linear-Bounded Automata

Context-Free Grammars Push-Down Automata

Regular Languages Finite Automata Regular Grammars

# **Real Computers**



# **Faster Computers**



Computably Enumerable

#### Evolution of Complexity Hartmanis-Stearns 1965

#### Evolution of Complexity Hartmanis-Stearns 1965

Computable

TIME(n<sup>2</sup>)

#### Evolution of Complexity Hartmanis-Stearns 1965

Computable

TIME(2<sup>n</sup>)

TIME(n<sup>5</sup>)

TIME(n<sup>2</sup>)

#### Evolution of Complexity Hartmanis-Stearns 1965 Computable



# Limitations of DTIME(t(n))

- Not Machine Independent.
- Separations are by diagonalization and not by natural problems.
- No clear notion of efficient computation.

#### Evolution of Complexity Cobham 1964 Edmonds 1965

#### Evolution of Complexity Cobham 1964 Edmonds 1965



#### Evolution of Complexity Cobham 1964 Edmonds 1965

Computable

 $P = \cup DTIME(n^k)$ 



#### Evolution of Complexity Cook 1971 Levin 1973 Karp 1972



# State of Complexity 1972


## Enter Larry Stockmeyer

January 1972 – Bachelors/Masters at MIT

- Bounds on Polynomial Evaluation Algorithms
- Can we find natural hard problems?
  - Diagonalization methods do not lead to natural problems.
  - There are natural NP-complete problems but cannot prove them not in P.
  - With Advisor Albert Meyer

### **Regular Expressions with Squaring**

#### (0+1)\*00(0+1)\*00(0+1)\*

- All strings with two sets of consecutive zeros.
- Allow Squaring operator: r<sup>2</sup>=rr
- $(0+1)^*(0^2(0+1)^*)^2$
- No more expressive power but can be much shorter when used recursively.

## Meyer-Stockmeyer 1972 REGSQ = { R | L(R) $\neq \Sigma^*$ }

Computable



#### EXPSPACE





### **Regular Expressions with Squaring**

 Meyer and Stockmeyer, "The Equivalence Problem for Regular Expressions with Squaring Requires Exponential Space" – SWAT 1972

#### MINIMAL

 Set of Boolean formulas with no smaller equivalent formula.

## Meyer-Stockmeyer 1972 Complexity of MINIMAL

Computable



#### MINIMAL

 Set of Boolean formulas with no smaller equivalent formula.

MINIMAL in NP?

- Can't check all smaller formulas.

## Meyer-Stockmeyer 1972 Complexity of MINIMAL

Computable



#### MINIMAL

 Set of Boolean formulas with no smaller equivalent formula.

MINIMAL in NP?

- Can't check all smaller formulas.

MINIMAL in NP?

- Can't check equivalence.

#### MINIMAL

- Set of Boolean formulas with no smaller equivalent formula.
- MINIMAL in NP?
  - Can't check all smaller formulas.
- MINIMAL in NP?
  - Can't check equivalence.
- MINIMAL is in NP with an "oracle" for equivalence.

# MINIMAL in NP with Equivalence Oracle $(x \lor y) \land (x \lor y) \land z$ Equivalence

#### Guess: $x \land z$

#### $(x \land z, (x \lor y) \land (x \lor \overline{y}) \land z)$ —

#### EQUIVALENT -



 MINIMAL is in NP with an "oracle" for equivalence or non-equivalence.

MINIMAL is in NP with an "oracle" for equivalence or non-equivalence.
Since non-equivalence is in NP we can solve MINIMAL in NP with NP oracle.

MINIMAL is in NP with an "oracle" for equivalence or non-equivalence.
Since non-equivalence is in NP we can solve MINIMAL in NP with NP oracle.
Suggests a "hierarchy" above NP.

NPNP MINIMAL

NP

Ρ

NPNP

 $NP = \Sigma_1^p$ 

 $NP^{\Sigma}3^{p} = \Sigma_{4}^{p}$ 

 $NP^{\Sigma_2^p} = \Sigma_3^p$ 

 $NP^{NP} = \Sigma_2^{p}$ 

 $NP = \Sigma_1^p$ 

 $\Sigma_{4}^{\mathsf{p}}$ 

 $\Sigma_3^{p}$ 

 $\Sigma_2^{p}$ 

 $\Sigma_1^p = NP$ 

 $\overline{\text{co-NP}}_{3^{p}} = \Pi_{4^{p}}$ 

 $\text{co-NP}^{\Sigma_2 p} = \Pi_3^p$ 

°MINIMAL CO-NP<sup>NP</sup>=∏₂<sup>p</sup>

co-NP=∏₁<sup>p</sup>

Ρ





- Meyer-Stockmeyer, "The Equivalence Problem for Regular Expressions with Squaring Requires Exponential Space", SWAT 1972
- Stockmeyer, "The Polynomial-Time Hierarchy", TCS, 1977.
- Wrathall, "Complete Sets and the Polynomial-Time Hierarchy", TCS, 1977.













#### Properties of the Hierarchy If P = NP

PSPACE













### Quantifier Characterization

A language L is in  $\Sigma_3^P$  if for all x in  $\Sigma^*$ x is in L  $\Leftrightarrow \exists u \forall v \exists w P(x,u,v,w)$ 

A language L is in  $\Pi_3^P$  if for all x in  $\Sigma^*$ x is in L  $\Leftrightarrow \forall u \exists v \forall w P(x,u,v,w)$ 

### **Complete Sets**

We define B<sub>3</sub> by the set of true quantified formula of the form

 $\exists \mathbf{x}_1 \exists \mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \exists \mathbf{x}_n \forall \mathbf{y}_1 \cdots \forall \mathbf{y}_n \exists \mathbf{z}_1 \cdots \exists \mathbf{z}_n$  $\phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n)$ 

## **Complete Sets in the Hierarchy**



## Natural Complete Sets

N-INEQ – Inequivalence of Integer Expressions with union and addition.  $(50+(40\cup 20\cup 15))\cup((2\cup 5)+(7\cup 9))$ Meyer-Stockmeyer 1973 Stockmeyer 1977 – N-INEQ is  $\Sigma_2^{p}$ -complete Umans 1999 – Succinct Set Cover is  $\Sigma_2^{p}$ -complete Schafer 1999 – Succinct VC Dimension is  $\Sigma_3^{p}$ -complete

## The $\omega$ -jump of the Hierarchy

- Meyer-Stockmeyer 1973, Stockmeyer 1977  $B_{\omega} = \bigcup B_{k}$ 

 Quantified Boolean Formula with an unbounded number of alterations.
 Now called QBF or TQBF.

## **Complexity of** $\omega$ -jump


#### Alternation

Chandra-Kozen-Stockmeyer JACM 1981
 Chandra-Stockmeyer STOC 1976
 Kozen FOCS 1976





 $\nabla$ 

 $\forall$ 

 $\bigtriangledown$ 

 $\forall$ 

 $\nabla$ 

 $\forall$ 

 $\nabla$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $\nabla$ 



 $\forall$ 

 $\forall$ 



#### **Alternation Theorems**

Chandra-Kozen-Stockmeyer
 ATIME(t(n)) ⊆ DSPACE(t(n))
 NSPACE(s(n)) ⊆ ATIME(s<sup>2</sup>(n))
 ASPACE(s(n)) = ∪DTIME(c<sup>s(n)</sup>)

# Alternate Characterization of $\Sigma_2^p$ Η -

**Other Alternating Models** Chandra-Kozen-Stockmeyer 1981 Log-Space Hierarchy - Collapses to NL (Immerman-Szelepcsényi '88) Alternating Finite State Automaton - Same power as DFA but doubly exponential blowup in states. Alternating Push-Down Automaton - Accepts exactly  $E=DTIME(2^{O(n)})$ - Strictly stronger than PDAs - Inclusion due to Ladner-Lipton-Stockmeyer '78

#### Alternation as a Game

-

-

Ξ

# Alternation as a Game Э -



# Alternation as a Game Η -

# Alternation as a Game Η -

#### **Complete Sets Via Games**

- Stockmeyer-Chandra 1979
- Can use problems based on games to get completeness results for PSPACE and EXP.
- Create a combinatorial game that is EXPcomplete and thus not decidable in P.
- First complete sets for PSPACE and EXP not based on machines or logic.





#### **Generalized Checkers**



#### **Generalized Checkers**



PSPACE-hard

 Fraenkel et al. 1978

 EXP-complete

 Robson 1984

#### **Approximate Couting**

- #P Valiant 1979 - Functions that count solutions of NP problems. - Permanent is **#P-complete** Stockmeyer 1985 building on Sipser 1983 - Can approximate any #P function f in polytime with an oracle for  $\Sigma_2^p$ . - Toda 1991
  - Every language in PH reducible to #P

# Complexity of #P



# Legacy of Larry Stockmeyer

Circuit Complexity
Infinite Hierarchy Conjecture
Probabilistic Computation
Interactive Proof Systems

# **Circuit Complexity**

Baker-Gill-Solovay '75: Relativization Paper - Open: Is PH infinite relative to an oracle? Sipser '83: Strong lower bounds on depth d circuits simulating depth d+1 circuits. Yao '85: "Separating the Polynomial-Time Hierarchy by Oracles" Led to future circuit results by Håstad, Razborov, Smolensky and many others.

### Infinite Hierarchy Conjecture

- Is the Polynomial-Time Hierarchy Infinite?
   Best Evidence: Yao's result shows
  - alternating log-time hierarchy infinite.
- Many complexity results

   If PROP then the polynomial-time hierarchy collapses.
  - If PH is infinite then NOT PROP.
- Gives evidence for NOT PROP.

### If Hierarchy is Infinite ...

SAT does not have small circuits. – Karp-Lipton 1980 Graph isomorphism is not NP-complete. - Goldreich-Micali-Wigderson 1991 - Goldwasser-Sipser 1989 – Boppana-Håstad-Zachos 1987 Boolean hierarchy is infinite. - Kadin 1988

#### **Boolean Hierarchy**

BH<sub>1</sub> = NP
BH<sub>k+1</sub> = { B-C | B in NP and C in BH<sub>k</sub>}
{ (G,k) | Max clique of G has size k} in BH<sub>2</sub>
Kadin: If BH<sub>k</sub>=BH<sub>k+1</sub> then PH=Σ<sub>3</sub><sup>p</sup>.

# **Probabilistic Computation**



#### Probabilistic Computation Sipser-Gács-Lautemann 1983



## Interactive Proof Systems

 Papadimitriou 1985 – Alternation between nondeterministic and probabilistic players
 Interactive Proof Systems

 Public Coin: Babai-Moran 1988
 Private Coin: Goldwasser-Micali-Rackoff 1989
 Equivalent: Goldwasser-Sipser 1989

#### Interactive Proof Systems Babai-Moran 1988



#### Interactive Proof Systems LFKN, Shamir 1992



### Interactive Proof Systems

Hardness of Approximation - Feige-Goldwasser-Lovász-Safra-Szegedy 1996 Probabilistically Checkable Proofs - NP in PCPs with O(log n) coins and constant number of queries. - Arora-Lund-Motwani-Sudan-Szegedy 1998 Interactive Proofs with Finite State Verifiers - Dwork and Stockmeyer

# Other Work

- Larry Stockmeyer contributed much more to complexity and important work in other areas including automata theory and parallel and distributed computing.
- Most Cited Article (CiteSeer):
  - Dwork, Lynch, and Stockmeyer, "Consensus in the presence of partial synchrony" JACM, 1988.

#### Conclusion

- What natural problems can't we compute?
- Led to exciting work on polynomial-time hierarchy, alternation, approximation and much more.
- These idea affect much of computational complexity today and the legacy will continue for generations in the future.

#### Remembering

Other members of our community that we have recently lost...

# George Dantzig



# Shimon Even



# Seymour Ginsburg


## Frank Harary



### Leonid Khachiyan



#### **Clemens Lautemann**



### **Carl Smith**



# Larry Stockmeyer

