Homework is due by noon of Nov 25. Send by email to both "regev" and "tess" under the cs.nyu.edu domain with subject line "CSCI-GA 3210 Homework 10" and name the attachment "YOUR NAME HERE HW10.tex/pdf". Please also bring a printed copy to class. Start early!
Instructions. Solutions must be typeset in $\mathrm{LAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ (a template for this homework is available on the course web page). Your work will be graded on correctness, clarity, and conciseness. You should only submit work that you believe to be correct; if you cannot solve a problem completely, you will get significantly more partial credit if you clearly identify the gap(s) in your solution. It is good practice to start any long solution with an informal (but accurate) "proof summary" that describes the main idea. You may collaborate with others on this problem set and consult external sources. However, you must write your own solutions and list your collaborators/sources/hints used for each problem.

1. (15 points) (The power of Decision Diffie-Hellman. ${ }^{1}$ In class we saw that the DDH assumption can be used for public-key encryption; here you will show that it is very useful for symmetric primitives too.
For a cyclic group $G=\langle g\rangle$ of prime order $q$, the DDH assumption says that

$$
\left(g, g^{a}, g^{b}, g^{a b}\right) \stackrel{c}{\approx}\left(g, g^{a}, g^{b}, g^{c}\right)
$$

where $a, b, c \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ are uniformly random and independent. By grouping the elements appropriately, we can view this assumption in matrix form:

$$
g\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a \\
1 \cdot b & a \cdot b
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{c}{\approx} g\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a \\
b & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $g^{M}$ (for a matrix $M$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ ) is the matrix over $G$ obtained by raising $g$ to each entry of $M$. Observe that in the left-hand matrix, the two rows are linearly dependent (over $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ ), while in the right-hand matrix they are very likely not to be.
(a) (7 points) Prove that the DDH assumption implies that, for any positive integer $w=\operatorname{poly}(n)$,

$$
g\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{w} \\
a_{1} \cdot b & a_{2} \cdot b & \cdots & a_{w} \cdot b
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{c}{\approx} g\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{w} \\
c_{1} & c_{2} & \cdots & c_{w}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{i}, b, c_{i} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ are all uniformly random and independent.
(b) (4 points) Using the previous part, prove that the DDH assumption implies that, for any positive integers $w, h=\operatorname{poly}(n)$,

$$
g\left(a_{i} \cdot b_{j}\right)_{i \in[h], j \in[w]} \stackrel{c}{\approx} g\left(c_{i, j}\right)_{i \in[h], j \in[w]}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{i, j} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ are all uniformly random and independent. Note that the left-hand matrix (in the exponent) has rank 1, while the right-hand matrix is very likely to be full-rank.
(c) (4 points) Conclude that under the DDH assumption, there is a PRG family expanding about $2 n \lg q$ bits to about $n^{2} \lg q$ bits. (The output need not literally be made up of bits, though.) For the same input and output lengths, why might we prefer this PRG to the one from class based on a OWP?
(d) (0 points) (Challenge question.) Generalize the above to design a pseudorandom function based on DDH. (Hint: extend to $2 \times 2 \times \cdots \times 2$ matrices.)
2. (4 points) (Authentication. ${ }^{\text {W. }}$ ) In class we defined the model and correctness of a MAC. Suggest some notions of security and compare them. Some things to consider: information theoretical vs. computational; what constitutes a valid forgery? what does the attacker get to see or allowed to do?

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ From Peikert

